

**CONNECTIVE WORDS (PREPOSITIONS, CONJUNCTIONS AND PARTICLES)
IN “DIVANU – LUGOTIT - TURK” (DICTIONARY OF ALL TURKIC
LANGUAGES) OF MAHMUD KASHGARI**

Azamat Pardayev,
Samarkand State University, Samarkand, Uzbekistan

Furqat Nurmonov
Samarkand State University, Samarkand, Uzbekistan

Annotation: In this article linguistic peculiarities of service words in “Diwanu l-Lugat al-Turk” written by great linguist Mahmud Kashgari are researched.

Key words: functional words, part of speech, lexical and grammatical meaning, grammaticalization, nominative correlation denominative (nominative) and the function of the categorical semantics of the relational-advanced.

Introduction

It won't be exaggeration to name Mahmud Kashgari's “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” as one of masterpieces of world linguistics.

Being the basement of the Turkology science, it includes valuable information of lexis, grammar and phonetics of various Turkic tribes and families having lived in a territory from Buhara until far China in XI century. It is an important source of geography, mythology, ethnography, folklore, history, culture and enlightenment of all Turkic people, accordingly turkologists respect Mahmud Kashgari as the first encyclopedic, and “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” as the first “Turkic encyclopedia”.

Much scientists noted that Mahmud Kashgari began writing the “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” on 25th of January of 1072 and finished it in 1073-1083 years.

However, the copier Abu-Bakir, who copied diwan strictly from Mahmud Kashgari's manuscript, told the quote: “I started writing the book in Jumodiyul avval of 464 (Hegria) and after 4 times editing I finished it in Jumodiyul okhir of 466 (Hegira)”. [3.453]

Although it took 2 years to complete the book, it is clear that Mahmud Kashgari had to spend more time to compile the material, to travel from land to land to find out what word belongs to which tribe, Mahmud Kashgari himself wrote that “this book took all my life” and it proves above written.

One problem more discussing among turkologists is that, what tribe of Turkic Mahmud Kashgari belongs to. Since any nation, coming from old Turkic, wants to have such a famous scholar, Basim Atalay [one of translators] in his translation tried to adopt the work of Mahmud Kashgari to Turkish. Nevertheless, as the words of book is very kin to Uzbek reader, one will believe without any doubt if you tell him that Mahmud Kashgari lived in Buhara or Fergana. Such feelings will have kazahs, uygurs, Turkmen etc. that is why in Conference of turkologists in 1926 was agreed that Mahmud Kashgari belongs to all Turkic people.

One can easily find any possible data and information for any scope of linguistics from “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” containing more than 9 thousand unites.

The main object of diven research is the function words in it.

Continuing the traditions of Cerabian linguistics Mahmud Kashgari says that Turkic languages also have 3 parts of speech: Names [Noun] Verbs and letters [functionals] Mahmud Kashgari gave the folloving commentary on parts of speech:

“Mahmud Kashgari called particles, functional as letter and adjectires, numbers [numerals] and others as Names [noun]” [I,485]. He called verbs and verbals as Verb Although dividing words into parts of speech Mahmud Kashgari based on arab linguistics, ht described semantic and morphologic qualities of Noun, Verb, Numeral, Particle and Conjunctions more clearly.

Much notional and function words had changed semantically in Mahmud Kashgari’s “Dewanu – lugotit - turk”, so that we can see a process of grammaticalization i. e obtaining more grammar features by mentioned words. Though Kashgari himself didn’t describe the process as grammaticalization, most words in his book can be said as the best examples of it, such as words Qachan (when) and Agar(If) Qachan barsa sen- agar sen borsang (I, 383).

We shall discus about words denoting functional words and called as “letters” in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk”, because in his research Mahmud Kashgari paid attention to wery semantic change in them. Mahmud Kashgari did not group the words into traditional particles, conjunctions and funkctionals, However we can shov a number of words belonging to their modern types.

In other written recourses of turkic languages “Kutadgu bilig” and “Hibbatul Hakoyik” the number of prepositions much more than in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk”. The main reason of this is the compilation of only turkic words by Mahmud Kashgari which resulted it.

In “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” prepositions were used to indentify and denote relations betven nouns. In “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” are described folloving prepositions бірлә, үчүн, өтрү, тапа, ара from the 8th century written. Urkhun soursewords: тэг, тэгі, үчүн, өтрү, бірлә, тапа, кэсрэ, кісрэ, ара, аша, сайу, йэмэ.

This fact shows that during the progress of language and speech some units succeed other one, and this is the rule denoting that language is the living state.

We can divide prepositions in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” into 2 parts:

- 1) Strict prepositional words
- 2) Functional prepositions

As the fully functional we can point бірлә/білә, үчүн, тапа, кібі.

The mast used preposition in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” is бірлә, in some places Mahmud Kashgari used білә to make easy the under standing. The ancient variant of word “with” [uzb. билан] this bunction word denote the semantic meanings of time, comparison, objective, tence except its main meaning.

The functional word бірлә/білә denotes folloving grammatical meanings:

- 1) Acting together – Ол мэнін бірлә эрді – He was with me [I, 405]; Ўз анасі бірлә јін эгрішді – the girl knitted with her mother [I,239]
- 2) By means of: Отуђ узуч бірлә өчүрмäs – You can not hush the bonfire with [by means of] fire [I, 187]; Ўара булітіђ јэл ачар, / Урунч білә эл ачар – Clouds are flied with wind, doors of govern ment are opened with money [I,336]

3) Time: Таъда билә көрсә мәнi өрдәк атар, / Љалва көрүб љашђалалђи суwља батар - with seeing me armed ducks on sea come into the water [I,480]; Түнлә билә көчәлим – let’s move with night [when night comes] [II,12]

4) Comparison: Аллар биplә урушма, / бәгләр биplә турушма – Don’t light with heraes, don,t discuss with kings [I,192]; Түзүн биplә урiш, / Утун биplә тәришмә – you can win it you fight with the weak, but don’t light with strong.

5) Objective: Ёki бәг биplә әl болдi – two knights bekonu together. [I,83]

Љиш jaj билә тољуштi – Winther came across with summer [I,182] There is a shorfened form of биplә – ла in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk”.

Түнлә журун күндүз сәwнүр, / Кiчiкдә әwләниб улђазу сәwнүр – Day is happy to walk nith night Man is happi with marrying young [III,96], Түнлә булiт өртәнсә – With night clouds get reddish. [I,325]. Some scholars say the affix – ла to be a case affix, not paying attention to fact that cases in a period described had affixes – in, un.

That’s Why we think – la as a shortened form of – биplә.

The other preposition used in the “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” үчүн [equal to modern English “for”] used as a word denoting purpose and cause.

Сәниј үчүн кәдiм – I came for you of course for years there was not any semantic changes in usage of үчүн – for, but the meaning enlarged and except cause nowadays it denates aim, exchange, objectives and others.

The preposition кәби [English “as”] used to denote comparison: Љушлар кәби учтiмiз – Fly as a bird [I, 447]; Казаш таба iт кiби љиңру баљар – He looks as a jealous dog [III, 29].

This preposition in book has 2 variants – кәби and кiб – but their usage is not defined by auther. In modern uzbek it has a form of “каби”

The fact that inside with кәби is used the form “янглиғ” [like] proves that synonymy was very developed. There are also other synonyms of the preposition кәби as сiғ – Бу ођул ол эрсiђ - this boy is like adults [III,142] ча – Бу аниңчә – it looks like him [III, 224]. тәк – Ол андађ тәк – it is as him; чәгүркә тәк сү – soldiers are as much as insects. [I,452]

Accordingly, preposition of comparison were used in many synonymical variants even then. These preposition years after were enriched by Alisher Navoiy who added words мисл, монанд, љадар and –ка

The other preposition used in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” таба denoted an object of direction [as the preposition “toward” in English] Арслан таба әмиттi – They ovent toward the lion. [II, 361]; чәриг таба јалђштi – They came close to soldiers, [II,114]. Mahmud Kashgari called as an alternative and analytic synonym of accusative case - мәнiң таба кәдi – He came to me [III,235].

The preposition iчрә shows the direction of subject into or across object.

Тољуш iчрә уруш бәрдiм – I rushed into war field. [II,88]

Ол јанчiљ iчрә јармалђ љарwandi – wandi – he searchend the coin from his rocken running into.

The functional prepositions in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” can be divided into

A) Gerundial prepositions;

B) Nominal prepositions

Much of gerundial preposition which denoted interaction of substance to time and place during his torical progress were replaced by strict prepositions or began denoting a very abstract notion However in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” there are a number of **gerundial** prepositions: ўзä - аниң ўзä жуђуръан ашулди – the dress covered him [I,205] ара – киши ара кирдим – I renited with people [I, 114]; булдачи бузађу өкүз ара бәгүлүк - The kid of bull is considered to be a bull. [I,480]; баса –мән анда[н] баса кәлдим – I came after him [III, 243] сөђ – сән мәнiң сөђда кәл – come after me [III, 369]; ilk –ilk сән барђил – **go first** [I,78]; нару – нару бар – go there [III,242] ашну – мен андин ашну кәлдим – мен ундан олдин келдим [I,150]; бурун – ол мәндән бурун барди – He went first [I, 379] Nominal prepositions save their grammar quantities but their lexic meanings Shortened and mostly they denote interfered meaning.

Following nominal prepositions can be found in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk”

өтра /орту/отра – орту эр – middle added man. Эw ортуси – Middle of the house. Күн орту – кун ўртаси- Midday [I,145]; узу – мән аниң узу кәлдим – I came after him [I, 114]; ажру – муні тiләмәсә сән ажру нә кәрак? – Why do you need if you won’t? [I, 146]; љат – бәг љатiнда – бек ёнида [I, 311]; тәгрә – љузуђ тәгрәси – around the well [I, 397]; азаль, баш - азгүлүни суw азальiнда кәмиш башiнда тiлә – do the best for people, even dropping it into water, you will **???** it on. [II, 126].

Also, the following words can be pointed as prepositions in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk”: азiн [чигилча] / азуль [ўђизча] – other, another [I, 105, 125]; асра- under [I, 147]; исрә- below [I, 147]; арқа – behind [I,148]; отки/жанут – in place of, for [I, 149]; эјәкү – near [I,156], љарши – against [I,399]; жуђач – infront of [III, 16]; қаш – side [III, 166]; тапи –ўрта [III, 235]..

Thus, prepositions were largely used in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk”, they governed cases and sometimes were syunonyms of them, gerving to clear semantics of word combination and sentence. The second widely used functional Words in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” are particles. As particles in speech are used to add extra- emations and Shov **ulation** of Subject to predicate in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” particles are used to denote the exaggeration, declaration, pointing, asking, surprise, wish, dream, thoughts and other additional semantic shades.

All particles we meet in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” can be divided into

- 1) Exact particles having a form of affixes. They are: -му, -ок, -ла, -чу, -шу.
- 2) Functional particles which has a form of words.

The most used particle in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” is –му. Resulting from usearches we can note that particle му/ми is one of widely used in written sources of turcic languages during XI – XIV century even in modern Uzbek.

Mahmud Kashgari described the particle as: “ – My is the affix added to nouns and verbs to make interrogative sentences”

For example: бу атму ? – is it a horse? Бу итму?- is it a dog?

бардиңму? – Did you go? Кәлдиңмү? Did you come? [I,404]

With this guote Mahmud Kashgari gave expounded definition to the role of that period. Till the period of Navoiy – My existed as it was, but then it changed to –**ми**.

Particle –оқ which was widely used in XI century had a meaning of bordering and exaggeration.

This particle is defined in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” as following:

–оқ is an affix giving adverbial modities additional meaning **баја-ољ кәдїм – бояљ келдим, яъни бу соатдан олдин келдим – I just come, эмди –оқ ајдїм – I just told.**

Particle –чү is defined in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” as following:

–чү is the affix which **stunghens** positive and negative imperative sentences: **кәлчү – Surely come!**

Бармачү – Never go! [III, 225] Mahmud Kashgari also used –шу as a synonym of – чу, which also added to verbs to make imperative sentence.

Particle – ла is used as a form of affix.

Mahmud Kashgari defined it as following: “– ла is a particle Turkic tribe Ughuz used to clear and strengthen the verb which is already done”

Ол барділа – He surely went, ол келділә – He surely come.

But other turks don't use it [III, 231]

From bordering particles of XIII-XIV centuries -льына/-кина//-һына/-гина is not shown in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” but others are widely used one of them **қјјä/кijä – оғул қјјä – my sonny қız қјјä – my little daughter** [III,185]

This affix is the oldest in turkic languages and modern uzbek –gina/kina is derived from them.

Although **әп//әп//ап** and **ўп//уп** is told to be preposition in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk”, it is mostly like particle:

әп//әп//ап - particle of exaggeration- **әп-әзгү нән – too good thing ан-ақ – too white.** [I, 70]

Mahmud Kashgari defined “а:” [English ah] as a particle of surprise: **ол мәни а: қилді – He surprised me.**

According to their meaning **аб/ај** are also particles and defined in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” as the words of non-obeying like “what?!” “mi?!” [I, 75]

Particle “-қоб” is defined in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” as the particle denoting exaggeration – **оғул лоб бадуді – boy is very big; лоб эзгү нән – a very good thing** [I, 309]

-таб is defined as the word suit, fit – **бу аш мәнә таб – this food fits me: таб болді – it is enough.**

Expanded usage in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” has a particle – **ол мәниң оғлім ол – he is really my son** **ол әвгә бармиш ол – he has really gone.**

Particle **нә** is used to replace arabian particle **ма** [denoting surprise] – **нә мә эзгү кіші ол – What a good man is he.** Particle **јалғус** is defined as “alone, single”; **јалғус әр single man.**

In following turkic proverb the particle is defined very clear:

јалғус ляз өтмәс - Single bird does not sing [III,394].

Although many scientist think words **тақı /дақı** to be conjunction, basing on “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” we call it as particle denoting the meaning of “again” “more” – **Талы жармалъ бәр – give more money** [II, 227]. In “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” there are a lot of other words used to give additional expressiveness to speech, some of them are particles, others used in special or occasional cases but they however can be named as particles.

The are as following: абаҗ- if: абаҗ сән барсасан – if you go [I, 153]; эринч – probably: Ол кәлди эринч - probably he has gone [I, 152] ьалї – What if, how: Бу сән бу ишї ьалї ьїлдїҗ - How did you do it? Сән ьалї барса сән - What if you go? [III, 252]; чаль - exactly - Чаль ол атнї тутїл – catch exactly this horse [I, 320] ијїҗ - “so good” or “so bad” Ајїҗ эзгү - How good is it? [I, 112] тўм - dark, used to denote shade of colour. Тўм ьара ат – dark black horse [I, 324].

Conjunction appeared in Turkic languages later than other functional words because there were no need for them in a result of activity of prepositions and particle s, i.e prepositions and particles replaced conjunctions in many ways. However conjunctions developed and enriched very fast, for example, if there were only 3- or 4 conjunctions in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk”, in Navoiy’s works there are more than 50 conjunctions used.

In “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” we can see a usage of conjunctions – азу- a conjunction offering to choose one of two or more variants [like English “or”]

ўзўм јәгїл азу ьаҗїн јәгїл – Do you want grapes or melon? [I, 115].

Тїкўмў туҗдї азу бۆрїмў - A girl was born or boy? The word аб is defined as a preposition in “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” but for its functions we can name it as negative conjunction – аб бу аб ол - neither this nor that [I, 70].

As a conclusion we can say that in his work great lexicographer and encyclopedician Mahmud Kashgari paid attention to every detail of speech compiling into his “Dewanu – lugotit - turk” not only Notional parts of speech, but also functional one.

Literature used:

1. Mahmud Kashgari. Dewanu – lugotit – turk. in III parts. Part one. Translator and another of adaptation S.M.Mutallibov. – Tashkent – academy of science edition, 1960. 499 pages.
2. Mahmud Kashgari. Dewanu – lugotit – turk. in III parts. Part two. 1961. 427 pages.
3. Mahmud Kashgari. Dewanu – lugotit – turk. in III parts. Part three. 1963. 463 pages.