

Review Paper : Bumper Beam made of Honeycomb Sandwich Panel

Prof.Lande P.R.¹
 (Dept. of Mechanical Engineering)
 SCSMCOE,
 Ahmednagar, India
surpradip@gmail.com

Prof. F.A. Pathan²
 (Dept. of Mechanical Engineering)
 SCSMCOE,
 Ahmednagar, India

Abstract— Bumper is a energy absorbing protective element which absorb the energy in front collision and protect valuable parts like radiator etc. Bumper is act like protective shield generally made of steel material. As economic point of view and to reduce consumption of fuel manufacturing of light weight vehicle is requirement of current situation. Application of composite material in automobile sector is now day common thing. Aluminum honeycomb sandwich panel is basically material from aerospace industries and known for its high strength to weight ratio. Sandwich structure basically having its properties due to geometry . To determine various properties of sandwich structure conducting experiments is expensive ,so generally FEA is used .However complex geometry is hurdle so there are various theories are available for simplification of model. These theories convert 3D model in to homogenous model .As far as concerning India manufacturing rate of sandwich structure is very less, so generally cost is more. Greatest giant manufacturer is china we can observe their bullet train and metro transport facility construction.Recently in march 2014 largest selling Indian cars are failed in NCap test in 100% frontal crash test. So requirement of more energy absorbing material with economy consideration is important.

Keywords: sandwich structure, Hexagonal cell, Impact analysis

I. INTRODUCTION

According to survey of national Crime Records Bureau Ministry of Home Affairs Government of India unnatural accidental death are the sever problem, because in accidental death mainly age group between 18 to 40 are more. In alone Maharashtra state you will find 62,160 accidental death in year 2013 June to 2014 June . So there is concern about crashworthiness of structure which are used as protection in automobile as well awareness of road safety rules and regulation in people. Bumper beam mainly absorb the energy in low velocity impact but as need their should be increment in crashworthiness of structure .

Consider following point

- Road accident in year 2009 is 4,21,600
- Road accident in year 2013 is 4,43,000.
- On average 1,50,000 people get killed in road accident .

A solution of above problem lies in either change in dimension, change in shape or change in material. Main objective of the study understands basic terminology regarding bumper system, behavior of sandwich structure and its basic properties, regarding impact analysis. Bumper system mainly [13] contain

- A cover
- Bumper reinforcement.
- The mechanical and deformable energy absorber
-




Fig No.1: Bumper System [13]

A cover is outermost layer which basic purpose is aesthetic look and also little bit protection. Its aerodynamic shape is also important. The bumper reinforcement which is main energy absorbing element .It absorbs the shock and transfer remains amount of energy to a deformable energy absorber which is mainly crush box.

1) Literature survey on Honeycomb sandwich structure:




Fig No2:Honeycomb structure

Sandwich composites primarily have two components namely, skin and core as shown in Fig.2 If an adhesive is used to bind skins with the core, the adhesive layer can also be considered as an additional component in the structure. The thickness of the adhesive layer is generally neglected because it is much smaller than the thickness of skins and the core. The properties of sandwich composites depend upon properties of the core and skins, their relative thickness and the bonding characteristics between them .

Jim Kindger[1] has mentioned about lightweight structural cores. His paper describes brief about honeycomb material, cell configuration, application of honeycomb material. He also mentioned about manufacturing methods for honeycomb material, adhesive bonding and expansion, corrugation and adhesive bonding, corrugation and braze welding, and extrusion. He mentioned cell configuration like hexagonal reinforced hexagonal, overexpanded (ox), square, flex core, double flex-core spirally wrapped (tube core), cross core, circular with its specific applications. Most honeycombs are anisotropic that is properties are directional .it is also includes selection parameter about the core such as material, size, density, and mechanical properties.




Fig no.3:Hexagonal structure [1]

C. W. Schwingshack [2] examined several available analytic and experimental methods to determine the orthotropic material properties of honeycomb sandwich structure. Fifteen published sets of simple equations for the material properties were reviewed and their values calculated for a specific honeycomb aluminum core. The same core was tested with ASTM standard methods and the agreement between the theoretical material properties and the experimental results was considered. Harish R, Ramesh. [3] found out the effect of the core height on the fundamental natural frequency of aluminum honeycomb sandwich panels by both experimentally. it is proved that increase in core height increase natural frequency of sandwich panel. Sourabha S. Havaldar[4] have done remarkable work in investigation effect of parameter cell size on vibrational characteristic . Now variation of cell size shows that as cell size increase the natural frequency of panel decreases.

Dimitrios Garinis [5] have performed modal analysis on modified Gazelle helicopter blade. The construction of the blade is fully composite with the honeycomb core. Modified blade consists of core material, 3D unidirectional composite spar and thin carbon composite face sheets as blade skin. To determine the stiffness of the honeycomb core, the equivalent mass approach was used..Ganesh J G, G.VenkataRamana [6] have done investigation, design and analysis of honeycomb sandwich structures. Their primary goal is to develop an equivalent orthotropic material model that is a good substitute for the actual honeycomb sandwich structure. equivalent model can be used reliably for deflection analysis, forced vibration analysis, stiffness determination and aero-elastic analysis. An analysis shows that core made of fiber reinforced plastic or e- glassand face sheet made of stainless steel (SS316) shows better performance in dynamic approach

Jeom KeePaika [7] has find the strength characteristics of aluminum sandwich panels with aluminum honeycomb core theoretically and experimentally. It was observed that with an increase in the thickness of honeycomb core cell, the start of plastic deformation could be delayed, resulting in increase of ultimate strength. The equivalent single skin panel approach, together with either equal rigidity or equal weight, may possibly be used for predicting the ultimate strength of honeycomb sandwich panels under axial compression.

K Kantha Rao, Jayathirtha, A.G. Sarwade, M Sarath Chandra [8] have calculated strength of honeycomb sandwich panel made up of different material .. From the crushing tests on the aluminum honeycomb sandwich panel specimens under lateral crushing loads varying the cell thickness and height of the honeycomb core, it is seen that the core height is not an influential parameter on the crushing behavior of the honeycomb core. However the wall thickness of a honeycomb core cell is a critical variable affecting the crushing strength of the sandwich panels subject to lateral pressure loads

Alen John [9] in his paper compared carbon fiber composite and chromium coated steel with aluminium B390 alloy .The result shows that carbon fiber composite having material density 1640 kg/m³ and Youngs Modulus 85 GPa, in dynamic test shows higher stress but lower deformation .It also have high strength to weight ratio. so it is also better option for manufacturing of car part .The Methodology used is EuroNcap .Speed of vehicle is 10m/s (36 km/hr) and mass with occupant is considered 1550kg.Impact time is considered is 0.1 second. A.Calienciug [20] in his paper investigated the bumper made of material composite Epoxy resin & fiber glass fabric and it is compared with another composite material Epoxy resin & Nylon 6/10 .Simulation is carried out in Abaqus software .The methodology used is a bumper impacted with steel ball of weight 0.5 kg at speed of 45 km/hr. Stress and deflection is observed as result. In present days, the most of all materials that are used on bumpers is ABS because of its properties like rigidity, elasticity, corrosion resistance.

This material is compared with Epoxy resin reinforced with fiberglass fabric made properties like high tensile, resistance to heat, are resistant to chemical agents and good resistance to radiation. Q. H. Ma in his paper [21] focused on mainly safety consideration rather than weight reduction. A bumper beam made of low carbon steel material having density 7.9 gm/cm³ is used and tested according to 100% NCAP head on collision test at speed 45km/hr. 87% increase in weight caused 34.4% safety.

M. M. Davoodi [10] in his research mainly focused on hybrid natural fiber epoxy composite as automotive bumper beam material and got excellent success in improvement of impact strength. In this research, epoxy is considered as a matrix. Five plies have been used to fulfill the common desired bumper beam thickness. The modified sheet-molding compound (SMC) selected as a fabricating method to enhance the required mechanical properties. Since the long fibres present, more strength and kenafibres are twisted naturally, so a fixture is made to stretch the fibers. A thin frame was located on the top of the fixture to keep the fibers in the stretched condition. Sample is made from two kenafibre and three glass fiber layers. In SMC method the fibers disperse over the slurry resin then pass through sequential roller to make it denser and more stable, but in this method resin spray onto the different directions stretched fibers. Next, the stacked layers put into the mould and mount into the controlled temperature and pressure hot press for forming the desired shape.

V. Mohan Srikanth [11] has done research on Carbon Fiber Reinforced Poly Ether Imide and S2 Glass Epoxy Materials as bumper material. The majority of modern plastic car bumper system fascias are made of thermoplastic olefins (TPOs), polycarbonates, polyesters, polypropylene, polyurethanes, polyamides, or blends of these with, for instance, glass fibers, for strength and structural rigidity. At present the material used for car bumper is steel. Steel is replaced with S2 Glass epoxy and Carbon fiber -Reinforced Poly-Ether-Imide PEI. Present used material for car bumper is steel. By using steel the weight of the car bumper is more but by using composites the weight of the bumper is reduced since densities are very less compared with steel. By using S2 Glass Epoxy, the weight is almost reduced by 4Kgs and by using PEI it is almost reduced by 5Kgs. The density of S2 Glass epoxy and PEI is less than that of steel, thereby the overall weight of car bumper is reduced.

Praveen Kumar [12] in his research focused on bumper beam of TATA 207. The study of automotive bumper was done for three designs namely, basic bumper design with steel, with the attachment of foam and with the attachment of honeycomb. Static structural analysis is carried out. As we know steel is known for its weight and it gives the rigid support to the vehicle, but as a bumper it should absorb the energy and it has to be stiff for the passenger safety. The theoretical aspect of steel is as the alloy of iron, with carbon which is contributing 2.1% of its weight carbon. Other

elements and inclusions within iron act as hardening agents that prevent the movement of dislocations that naturally in the iron atom lattice. Varying amount of alloying elements retards the movement of their dislocations which in turn controls the tensile strength. This steel is compared with carbon fiber having poisons ratio 0.77 and tensile strength 110MPa. Carbon fiber is having a rigid and brittle property so it crumples and reduces the harm to passenger. The advantage of having carbon fiber as bumper is of high strength to weight ratio and because of brittleness it dissipates energy by breaking hence ensures passenger safety in comparison with the steel bumper. Bhavesh A. Bohra [13] compared ABS and PEI with existing steel material and concluded that steel can be replaced with this material. Bumper beam impacted with pendulum speed 4km/hr. according to CMVSS and 2.5 km/hr. speed according to FMVSS. Mr. Nitin, S. [14] in his paper carried out analysis at 4km/hr according to NHTSA standard. Foam-absorber type bumper assembly with Aluminum fascia and Modified absorber type bumper assembly with composite fascia is used. The output shows that composite fascia is suitable from impact point of view. S. Jayanthi and J. Janci Rani [15] carried out research according to FMVSS.

Acknowledgment

I thank all the *Respected Professors of the Department of Mechanical Engineering*, SCSMCOE Ahmednagar for their indispensable support, priceless suggestions and for valuable time lent as and when required.

References

- 1) J. Kindeger "Lightweight Structural Cores" *Hexel Composites* 1999.
- 2) C. W. Schwingshackl; G. S. Aglietti; And P. R. Cunningham "Determination of Honeycomb Material Properties: Existing Theories and an Alternative Dynamic Approach" DOI: 10.1061/_ASCE_0893-1321_2006_19:3_177.
- 3) Harish R, Ramesh S Sharma, Department of Mechanical Engineering, R.V. College of Engineering, Bangalore, India. "Vibration response analysis of honeycomb sandwich panel with varying Core Height" *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Computational and Applied Sciences (IJETCAS)* 2013
- 4) Sourabha S. Havaldar¹, Ramesh S. Sharma, Department of Mechanical Engineering, R. V. College of Engineering, Bangalore, India, "Effect of Cell Size on the Fundamental Natural Frequency of FRP Honeycomb Sandwich Panels" *Journal of Minerals and Materials Characterization and Engineering*, 2012, 11, 653-660.
- 5) Dimitrios Garinisdesign, Mirko, Dinulović Rašuo Professor University of Belgrade "Dynamic Analysis of Modified Composite Helicopter Blade" *FME Transactions* (2012) 40.
- 6) Ganesh J. G., G.Venkata Ramana, Department of Mechanical engineering, CMR institute of technology, Hyderabad, Andhra Pradesh-501401, India "Vibration Analysis Of A Circular Honeycomb Plate And Design Of Its Support Structure Using Fem" *International Journal Of Research In Engineering & Advanced Technology*, Volume 1, Issue 4, Aug-Sept, 2013

7) Jeom Kee Paik., Anil K. Thayamballi, Gyu Sung Kim Department of Naval Architecture and Ocean Engineering, Pusan National University, Pusan 609-735, South Korea “The strength characteristics of aluminum honeycomb sandwich panels” *Thin-Walled Structures* 35 (1999) 205–231

8) K.Kantha Rao, K. Jayathirtha Rao A.G.Sarwade, M.Sarath Chandra ,CMR College of Engineering and Technology, Hyderabad, “Strength Analysis on Honeycomb Sandwich Panels of different Materials” (*IJERA*) ISSN: 2248-9622 , Vol. 2, Issue 3, May-Jun 2012, pp. 365-374.

9) “Alen John , Nidhi M.B,“Analysis of composite car bumper reinforcement” *International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology (IJETT)* – Volume 15 Number 7 – Sep 2014,Trivandrum, India..

10)M. M. Davoodi , S. M. Sapuan, Aidy Ali, D. Ahmad and A. Khalina, “Thermoplastic impact property improvement in hybrid natural fibre epoxy composite bumper beam”*IOP Publishing,IOP Conf. Series: Materials Science and Engineering* 11 (2010) 012013

11)V.MohanSrikanth, K.Venkateswara Rao, M.Sri Rama Murthy,“Impact Analysis of a Car Bumper For Various Speeds Using Carbon Fiber Reinforced Poly Ether Imide and S2 Glass Epoxy Materials By Solid Works software”*IJRMET* Vol. 4, Issue Spl - 1, Nov 2013-April 2014.

12) Praveen Kumar.A , Sameer Belagali , Bhaskar, “Comparative Study of Automotive Bumper with Different Materials for Passenger and Pedestrian Safety”*e-ISSN: 2278-1684,p-ISSN: 2320-334X*, Volume 11, Issue 4 Ver. III (Jul- Aug. 2014), PP 60-64.

13) Bhavesh A. Bohra1, Prof. D. B.Pawar ,”Comparative analysis of frontal car bumper during impact”*International Journal of Application or Innovation in Engineering &Management,India*, Volume 3, Issue 12, December 2014.

14) Mr. Nitin S. Motgi, Prof. S. B. Naik, Prof.P.R.Kulkarni, “Impact Analysis of Front Bumper”*International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology (IJETT)* – Volume 6 ,India,5- Dec 2013.

15) S.Jayanthi,JJanci Rani,“High Velocity Impact Analysis of The Thermoplastic Bumper In Automobile” *Journal of scientific and Industrial Research*,Vol 73,January 2014,pp66-68.