Proceedings of 1st Shri Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj QIP Conference on Engineering Innovations
Organized by Shri. Chhatrapati Shivaji Maharaj College of Engineering, Nepti, Ahmednagar
In Association with JournalNX - A Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal, ISSN No: 2581-4230
21st - 22nd February, 2018

EVALUATION OF EFFICIENT TYPE OF CURING FOR GEOPOLYMER CONCRETE

Varsha V. Yewale[1]
Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering,
S.C.S.M College of Engineering
Nepti, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, India
Varsha.yewale@ymail.com

Prasad G. Nikam[2]
Assistant Professor, Department of Civil Engineering,
S.C.S.M College of Engineering
Nepti, Ahmednagar, Maharashtra, India
Prasad.nikam999@gmail.com

Abstract— As in todays world new technologies are introducing for comfort ability, problems we are facing day to day and as per the need of human beings. In the manufacturing process of cement, emission of CO2 is more and also consumes significant amount of natural resource. In thermal power station, Main problem is disposal of waste material as well as industrial byproduct like fly ash from thermal power plant. In this paper, we had studied different types of curing method for Geopolymer concrete and evaluate the best method of curing.

Keywords- Alkali Activators, Fly ash, Geopolymer concrete Keywords—component; formatting; style; styling; insert.

I. INTRODUCTION

Geopolymer concrete is a concrete which is use as one of the concrete to reduce the pollution by reducing production of cement. Due to production of cement many greenhouse gases like carbon dioxide which is harmful to earth atmosphere are emitted from cement industry in billion tons. To preserve the earth's atmosphere introduction to Geopolymer concrete is done by Davidovits in 1978.By this new technology reduction in production of cement which led to reduction in emission of carbon –di-oxide. In this new technology, Geopolymer concrete is a new material in which cement is fully replaced by pozzolanic materials that is rich in silicon (Si) and Aluminum (Al) like Fly ash. Pozzolanic activity of fly ash is due to the presence of finely divided glassy silica and lime that produce calcium silicate hydrates. The calcium hydroxide Ca (OH)2 provides the right environment for pozzolanic action. It is activated by highly alkaline solution to produce the binder which binds the aggregate in concrete when subjected to elevated temperature.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Subhash V. Patankar et.al [1]says, desired compressive strength was achieved by fixing the solution-to-fly ash ratio of 0.35 for the Mix Design of Fly Ash Based Geopolymer Concrete on the basis of various parameters such as quantity and fineness of fly ash, quantity of water and grading of fine aggregate.

Sourav Kr. Das et.al [2]says, with a higher Na_2SiO_3 gives a higher strength, and generally with a ratio of 2.5. The rate of increase of strength is rapid in the initial 24 hours of curing beyond that the gain of strength was moderate so the specimens should be cured for 24 hours only which will be sufficient enough.

Subhash V. Patankar et.al [3]says, for viscous mix, the concrete subside slowly for long time after lifting the slump cone which prose difficulties in measuring actual slump value. For less viscous but flowable mix, the slurry part flows from bottom of slump cone during placing of geopolymer concrete which affect the slump value. It is also observed that the compressive strength of geopolymer concrete decreases with increase in water-to-geopolymer binder ratio by changing the quantity of water.

B. VijayaRangan[4] says Test data show that the compressive strength increased with age in the order of 10 to 20 percent when compared to the 7th day compressive strength. Geopolymer concrete offers several economic benefits over Portland cement concrete. Heat-cured low-calcium fly ash-based geopolymer concrete also shows excellent resistance to sulfate attack and fire, good acid resistance, undergoes low creep, and suffers very little drying shrinkage.

A. Maintaining the Integrity of the Specifications

The template is used to format your paper and style the text. All margins, column widths, line spaces, and text fonts are prescribed; please do not alter them. You may note peculiarities. For example, the head margin in this template measures proportionately more than is customary. This measurement and others are deliberate, using specifications that anticipate your paper as one part of the entire proceedings, and not as an independent document. Please do not revise any of the current designations.

III. MATERIALS AND SPECIFICATIONS

1. Fly ash: Low calcium class F processed fly ash from Dirk India private limited under the name of the product POZZOCRETE 60. The specific gravity of the Fly Ash used is 2.26. The fineness of the Fly Ash by Blaine's method is 360m2 /kg.

2. Activators: The alkaline liquid used was a combination of sodium hydroxide and sodium silicate solution. Sodium hydroxide (NaOH) in flakes form with 98% purity and Sodium silicate solution purchased from local chemical supplier used as alkaline liquid. Molarity of the NaOH solution was 16M.

3.Aggregates: Locally available 12.5 mm and 20 mm crushed aggregates to be used as coarse aggregates having specific gravity 2.7. Locally available river sand is to be used as fine aggregate in the concrete mixes having specific gravity 2.4.

4.Super plasticizer: The use of a commercially available super-plasticizer has helped to improve the workability of concrete for High grade of concrete.BB2 super plasticizer was used in this mix and its dosage was 3% of the mass of binder material comprising of Fly ash.

EXPERIMENTAL WORK

A. MIXING AND CASTING

In Geopolymer concrete, mixing of the all ingredients is done in concrete mixer as per conventional method. For casting Geopolymer concrete, a day before sodium hydroxide is to be prepared as per the requirement of the molarity. The need to prepare the NaOH a day before is that it liberates heat as we mix the NaOH with water and hence, this liberated heat will not allow the other ingredients to bind together. This led to decrease in compressive strength of concrete. Since compressive strength of concrete is inversely proportional to the water – to- Geopolymer binder ratio. As per the ratio of NaOH to Na2SiO3 i.e. alkaline solution ratio, amount of NaOH and Na2SiO3 is calculated and mixed together an hour before the casting with extra water or super plasticizer if any. As per the conventional method of mixing firstly dry mixing of Fly Ash, coarse aggregate and fine aggregate is done in concrete mixer for 2-3min. After thorough dry mix of Fly Ash and aggregate, alkaline solution is poured in three layers on dry mix in the concrete mixer for 2-3 minutes. After proper mixing of all ingredients, fresh concrete appeared to be dark in color, cohesive and viscous. From this concrete, specimen are casted and then vibrated on table vibrators for compaction. While vibrating concrete mould, top surface of each mould is leveled with trowel. After 24 hours of casting, all specimens are demoulded.

B. CURING

In this paper, different type of curing is done on geopolymer concrete. Firstly, Heat curing of specimens is done in oven at different temperature for obtaining Optimum curing temperature. In this method, specimen is kept in oven for 24hrs to have complete polymerization of geopolymer concrete.

Secondly, Steam curing of specimen is done in accelerated curing tank at different temperature for obtaining optimum curing temperature at 18hrs to have complete polymerization of geopolymer concrete. In this type of curing it was observed that as the temperature goes on increasing, the compressive strength goes on increasing. Thirdly, water curing of specimen is done as per conventional method. Lastly, room temperature curing of specimen is done.

IV. RESULTS

The following test had been conducted at optimum curing temperature and time for efficient curing type for both grade of concrete.

1. COMPRESSION TESTING: Compressive strength of concrete had been done to get the optimum curing temperature and efficient curing type from different type of curing.

a.) Oven curing



Graph No.1. 7 Days Oven Curing Compressive Strength for M30



Graph No.2. 7 Days Oven Curing Compressive Strength for M60

b.) Steam curing



Graph No.3.7 Days Steam Curing Compressive Strength for M30



Graph No.4. 7 Days Steam Curing Compressive Strength for M60

c.) Water Curing



Graph No.5. 7 & 28 Days Water Curing Compressive Strength for M30 &M60

d.) Room Temperature Curing



Graph No.6. 7 & 28 Days Water Curing Compressive Strength for M30 &M60

V. CONCLUSION

From this experimental investigation it can be concluded:

1. In the oven curing, as we increase the temperature of curing for optimum time the compressive strength goes on increasing up to optimum temperature after that its decreases. In this type of curing, we get 28 days strength of concrete in 7 days of rest period at 80°C temperature.
2. In the steam curing, as we increase the temperature of curing for optimum time the compressive strength goes on increasing. In this type of curing, we get 28 days strength of concrete in 7 days of rest period at 100°C temperature.
3. In the water curing, as we increase the rest period of curing the compressive strength goes on increasing. In this type of curing, compressive strength of geopolymer concrete is increase but the strength is not up to the characteristic strength at 28 days.
4. In the room temperature curing, as we increase the rest period of curing the compressive strength goes on increasing. In this type of curing, we get the characteristic strength at 28 days of rest period instead at 7 days rest period. 5. From all above type of curing we can conclude that oven curing is efficient type of curing for geopolymer concrete because for steam curing the temperature required to get characteristic strength is more as compared to oven curing. In water curing, characteristic strength of geopolymer concrete is not achieved at 28 days of rest period. In room temperature curing, as the rest period goes on increasing the compressive strength increases but the time required for this is more as compared with oven curing.

References

[1] Subhash V. Patankar, Sanjay S. Jamkar, Yuwaraj M. Ghugal, "Effect of Water-to-Geopolymer Binder Ratio on the Production of Fly ash Based Geopolymer Concrete" International Conference on Recent Trends in engineering & Technology - 2013(ICRTET'2013)

[2] Sourav Kr. Das, Amarendra Kr. Mohapatra and A.K. Rath "Geo-polymer Concrete–Green Concrete for the Future—A Review"International Journal of Civil Engineering Research, ISSN 2278-3652 Volume 5, Number 1 (2014), pp. 21-28

[3] Subhash V. Patankar, Yuwaraj M. Ghugal and Sanjay S. Jamkar1, "Effect of Concentration of Sodium Hydroxide and Degree of Heat Curing on Fly Ash-Based Geopolymer Mortar" Hindawi Publishing Corporation Indian Journal of Materials Science, Volume 2014, Article ID 938789, 6 pages http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/938789

[4] B.VijayaRangan, "Geopolymer concrete for environmental protection" The Indian Concrete Journal April 2014Vol. 88, Issue 4, pp. 41-48, 50-59.

[5] KolliRamujee, Member, IACSIT and M. Potharaju, "Development of Low Calcium Fly ash Based Geopolymer Concrete", IACSIT International Journal of Engineering and Technology, Vol. 6, No. 1, February 2014