DESIGN AND ANALYSIS OF RECIPROCATING DOUBLE ACTING TWO STAGE AIR COMPRESSOR CRANKSHAFT

ANIKET S. BAGADE

Dept. of Mechanical (Design) Engineering, ME student, SKNSITS, Pune, India, aniketbagade@yahoo.in

PROF. GANESH A. KADAM

Dept. of Mechanical (Design) Engineering ME Guide, SKNSITS, Pune, India, ganeshkadam07@gmail.com

ABSTRACT:

Air compressor has earned a fair amount of popularity amongst various industries due to diverse uses of compressor air in applications such as driving of air engines(air motors), operation of blast furnace, Bessemer conveyors, supercharging of I.C. engines to name a few. The air compressors are available in various capacities and types. Mainly there are two types of compressor is driven by prime mover such as diesel engines or electric motor or sometimes turbine through crankshaft. In this paper crankshaft was designed by considering torsional and bending moments. After designed model was developed in Creo. Static structural and transient analysis was carried out in ANSYS.

KEYWORDS: Compressor, crankshaft, analysi

1. INTRODUCTION:

In order to successfully control, the noise and vibration, the vibration of r compressor ciprocating crankshaft, which can cause ribration and noise of the compressor, and some ven an destr crankshaft bearing and crankshaft itself be analysed. So early in design stage, o tations of 1 frequencies, mode shapes , and critical ls of crankshaft system are indispensable. Thus, an acc model for prediction of the vibration of f a cranksha stem is reciprocating compressor. Vibr don of the essential fo crankshaft system is a complex th dimensional coupled running conditions, including the vibration under torsional, longitudinal and lateral tions.

2. DESIGN:

2.1 CRANKSHAFT DESIGN:

S_e = Allowable fatigue strength

Pr=Tangential force

Table I. Crankshaft Material Properties

Material	SG Iron 600/3
Factor of safety	1.5-2
Ultimate Tensile Strength	600Mpa.
Yield strength	370MPa

Case-I: Position of crankshaft at TDC and it is subjected to maximum bending moment and zero torsional moment.

Table II. Reaction Forces A	t Different Locations Of					
renkchaft						

Fankshan								
Point	Description	Reaction(kg)		Moment(kg-mm)				
		Horiz	Vert	Horiz	Vert			
А	Bearing1	-409.404	-306.54	0	0			
В	HP Crank	0	-959.158	-45853.3	34333.08			
С	LP Crank	0	2684.885	-98666.5	197608.9			
D	HP Crank	0	-959.158	151479.8	14534.5			
Е	Bearing2	1371.09	714.02	2154937.5	56769			
F	Flywheel	961.6894	254	0	0			

Bending moment is highest at point C. So resultant bending moment,

$$\sqrt{(M_{CH})^2 + (M_{cv})^2} = 220871.855Kg - mm$$

Torsional moment at c is given by,

M

$$M_{te} = F_{t} \cdot r = 0$$

$$M_{te} = \sqrt{(M_{c} * K_{b})^{2} + (M_{t} * K_{t})^{2}} = 3301307.782Kg - mm$$

Diameter of shaft under shear strength,

$$\tau = \frac{\Pi}{16 * d_c^3} M_{te}$$
$$d_c = 42.071 mm$$

Diameter of shaft under bending strength,

$$M_{be} = \frac{1}{2} [M_{b} * K_{b} + M_{te}] = 331307.782 Kg - mm$$
$$d_{c} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{32 * M_{be}}{\pi * \sigma_{t}}} = 44.71 mm$$

Diameter of shaft under fatigue strength,

$$d_{c} = \sqrt[3]{\frac{32*M_{be}}{\pi*S_{e}}} = 98.187mm$$

Design of crankpin,

$$(M_{te}) = \sqrt{(M_b * K_b)^2 + (M_t * K_t)^2} = 79097.653Kg - mm$$

Diameter on the basis of shear strength,

$$d_c = \frac{16}{\pi * \tau} M_{te} = 26.10 mm$$

Diameter on the bending strength,

$$\begin{split} & \text{Variable 3, ISSO 5, Sept - 2017} \\ \hline M_{u_{v}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[[M_{v} * K_{v} + M_{w}] - 75889.9275 K_{S} - mm \\ d_{v} = \sqrt{\frac{12}{2} * M_{w}}} = 27.33mm \\ \hline \text{Torsional moment at D is given by,} \\ M_{v} = F_{v} = 130509 K_{S} - mm \\ d_{w} = \sqrt{\frac{12}{\pi * \sigma_{s}}} = 27.33mm \\ \hline \text{Design of web:} \\ \hline \text{Thickness of web,} \\ r = 0.7d = 70mm \\ \hline \text{With of web} \\ w = -1.14d_{v} = 114mm \\ \hline \text{Compressive stress due to (R_{1})} \\ \sigma_{v} = \frac{K_{1}}{w_{v} + t} = 0.1347 K_{S}/mm^{2} \\ \hline \text{Compressive stress due to (R_{1})} \\ \sigma_{v} = \frac{K_{1}}{w_{v} + t} = 0.1347 K_{S}/mm^{2} \\ \hline \text{Compressive stress due to (R_{1})} \\ \sigma_{v} = \frac{(h_{1}^{-} - 0.5(-0.5))^{2} (0.5)^{*} (K_{1}))}{\left(\frac{(w^{+}t)^{2}}{12}\right)} - 1.5056 K_{S}/mm^{2} \\ \hline \text{Compressive stress due to (R_{1})} \\ \sigma_{v} = \frac{(h_{1}^{-} - 0.5(-0.5))^{2} (0.5)^{*} (K_{1}))}{\left(\frac{(w^{+}t)^{2}}{12}\right)} - 1.5056 K_{S}/mm^{2} \\ \hline \text{Compressive stress for transmitting the stress for each form the basis of sheap strength, \\ \hline \text{Compressive stress form} \\ \hline \text{Compressive stress form to (R_{1}), \\ \sigma_{v} = \frac{K_{1}}{2} = 106 K_{S}/mm^{2} \\ \hline \text{Cotal stress}, \\ \sigma_{v} = \frac{38.73}{2} = 196 K_{S}/mm^{2} \\ \hline \text{Case-II: On the basis of all stress form \\ \hline (M_{v})_{v} = (R_{v}(M_{v}, v_{v}) = 159584316 K_{S} - mm \\ \hline (M_{v})_{v} = (R_{v}(M_{v}, v_{v}) = 159584316 K_{S} - mm \\ \hline (M_{v})_{v} = (R_{v}(M_{v}, v_{v}) = 159584316 K_{S} - mm \\ \hline (M_{v})_{v} = (R_{v}^{-} (M_{v}) = 2958363785 K_{S} - mm \\ \hline (M_{v})_{v} = (R_{v}^{-} (M_{v}) = 2958363785 K_{S} - mm \\ \hline (M_{v})_{v} = (R_{v}^{-} (M_{v}) = 2853788 - mm \\ \hline (M_{v})_{v} = (R_{v}^{-} (M_{v}) = 2853788 - mm \\ \hline (M_{v})_{v} = (R_{v}^{-} (M_{v}) = 28583788 - mm \\ \hline (M_{v})_{v} = (R_{v}^{-} (M_{v}) = 2853788 - mm \\ \hline (M_{v})_{v} = (R_{v}^{-} (M_{v}) = 28583788 - mm \\ \hline (M_{v})_{v} = (R_{v}^{-} (M_{v}) = 28583788 - mm \\ \hline (M_{v})_{v} = (R_{v}^{-} (M_{v}) = 28583788 - mm \\ \hline (M_{v})_{v} = (R_{v}^{-} (M_{v}) = 2858388 - mm \\ \hline (M_{v})_{v} = (R_{v}^{-} (M_{v}) = 2858388 - mm \\ \hline (M_{v})_{v} = (R_{v}^{-} (M_{v}) = 28583888 - mm \\ \hline (M_{v})_{v} = (R_{v}^{-}$$

M_d = $\sqrt{(M_{DH})^2 + (M_{DV})^2} = 219.191 \times 10^3 Kg - mm$



Figure 3.2: Equivalent von-mises stress for Crankshaft

NOVATEUR PUBLICATIONS International Journal of Research Publications in Engineering and Technology [IJRPET] ISSN: 2454-7875 VOLUME 3, ISSUE 9, Sep. -2017

0.27 mm and in transient analysis 0.09mm, which is very small. Thus crankshaft design is safe.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT:

I take this opportunity to thanks Prof. G. A. Kadam for valuable guidance and for providing all the necessary facilities, which were indispensable in completion of this work. Also I sincerely thank all the other authors who worked on same area.

REFERENCES:

- 1) N. Levecque , J. Mahfoud , D. Violette, G. Ferraris , R. Dufour *"Vibration reduction of a single cylinder reciprocating compressor based on multi-stage balancing"*, University of de lyon, France, October 2010.
- 2) J.A. Becerra , F.J. Jimenez, M. Torres, D.T. Sanchez, E. Carvajal, *"Failure analysis of reciprocating compressor*

crankshafts", High School of Engineering, University of Seville, Spain, December 2010

- Mr. Mathapati N. C., Dr. Dhamejani C. L. "FEA of a crankshaft in crank-pin web fillet region for improving fatigue life", International journal of innovations in engineering research and technology, Volume 2, Issue 6 June.-2015.
- 4) Bin-yan YU, Xiao-ling YU, Quan-ke FENG, "Simple Modeling and Modal Analysis of Reciprocating Compressor Crankshaft System", School of Energy and Power, Xi'an Jiao tong University, China 2010.
- 5) Dr. C. M. Ramesha, Abhijith K G, Abhinav Singh, Abhishek Raj, "*Modal Analysis and Harmonic Response Analysis of a Crankshaft*" International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering Volume 5, Issue 6, June 2015