DISBURSEMENT AND UTILIZATION OF MAINTENANCE AND OTHER OPERATING EXPENSES (MOOE)PRACTICES OF SELECTED SCHOOLS IN THE DIVISION OF PALAWAN, PHILIPPINES

Miranda Mary Grace N. Master Teacher I, Palawan National School, Puerto Princesa City

David R. Perez Associate Professor V, College of Education, Western Philippines University Puerto Princesa City

ABSTRACT:

This study aimed to correlate the disbursement utilization of and **Maintenance and Other Operating Expenses** (MOOE's) among the administrators in selected schools in the Division of Palawan. The descriptive correlational and comparative research design was employed to difference compare the on the observation between teachers and administrators, the perception and observation of the teachers and school administrators on the practices of school administrators in utilizing and disbursing MOOE funds, and the relationship of the administrator's observations to the practices.

Data were obtained through the use of self-formulated survey questionnaires. Frequency, percentage, mean, Spearman Rho and Cramer's V were applied in the treatment of raw data.

Result of the study as to the practices of the School Administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school as observed by the teachers all indicators were rated with much extent except for the indicator related to learner's development stating that fund is provided to finance the graduation rites which was rated with very much extent. There is no significant difference on the observation of the teachers and the practices of administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school when grouped according to age, sex, civil status, highest degree obtained, and number of years of experience.

There is no significant difference on the observation of the teachers over the actual practice of the School Administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE Fund.

KEY WORDS: Disbursement, Utilization and Operation Expenses and School Administrators

BACKGROUND OF THE STUDY:

A school is very important organization or institution in the society. Like any other organization, it needs a strong leadership, management and resources. School administrators hold critical role in applying different management and leadership principles and practices in the school as well as maximizing the returns of all the inputs or budget in the school.

The development of a sound educational program is a major concern of school administration. The success of this program largely depends on the services and support given by the Department of Education. In fact, the effective operation of the school or any other

school system is due to the assistance given by the DepEd.

Every school manager/administrator must be knowledgeable on school planning, financial aspect of planning and other related issues. He/she must be able to identify current challenges to school finance, i.e., voucher plans, MOOE's, etc. Aside from this, knowledge on school purchasing procedures/issues including the function, purpose and process of bidding, requests for proposals and qualifications, etc. is imperative. Learning and management skill about managing school facilities, including internal controls, handling of cash, bank accounts, reconciliations, and other issues and processes is needed.

One of the expenses of the school are the facilities and instructional materials. The use of instructional aids and materials has increased and the broad range of educational services provided for teachers and students have stirred the use of modern technology. Messages from the higher hierarchy are relayed through the internet. Reports from the Division Offices and the schools are also transmitted through the computers. Hence, the education sector should keep pace with the lightning-pace technology that dominates the communication world.

There is a subject today that receives as much attention in all aspects of daily life as to financing and budgeting. Whether a person plans the future needs of himself and his family, or heads an organization, his most important concern is about the revenue necessary to meet all expenses. The most effective approach is the efficient and effective financial management, particularly budget management.

Decades ago, school administrators suffered from lack of finances to finance their school needs. They struggled to cope with changes taking place through their own initiative and resourcefulness. Administering a school before was like carrying a heavy cross, with all those

the requirements dictated by systemmaintenance and repair of school buildings, fences, equipment and the like, were all thrown on the shoulders of the school administrator. Yet, despite those predicaments, the school administrator with the teachers had risen above all odds, to the satisfaction of the students and parents. Over the years, schools are becoming bigger, more student enrolment, and is becoming more and more complex. This is not only a job but more of a challenge among the school administrators. The larger the school is: it means the more resources it needs. Yet the universal principle of scarcity also affects the funding allocated for schools.

The new larger school environment calls for the decentralization for more school autonomy and accountability, the improvement achievement of student results. the improvement of pedagogical processes including а bigger responsibility, local communities and public services. All of these aims to meet educational needs of the 21st Century. Decentralization in the Philippines empowers school principals in the school decisions and utilization of resources. Hence this is where the concept of budget and allocation will come in.

In the Philippines public administration and governance, there are three major classifications of government budget or spending: The Personal Services (PS), Maintenance and Other Operating Expenses (MOOE's), and the capital Outlays (CO's). The expenditures are necessary for an effective and efficient delivery of services to the public.

Schlegel (2000) found that the problems in the districts and school involved money and time. Insufficient funding had hindered the type of decisions made by the administrators and teachers because the district had limited resources. If it is happening in the developed countries such as United States how much more in the Philippines? Poor resources are the most common barrier faced by school administrators which adversely affects the school stakeholders especially the students.

To avoid such similar problems and to standardized the release to the implementation of the school Maintenance and Other Operating Expenses (MOOE's), the Department of Education released DepEd Order No 12, s. 2014 Implementing Guidelines on the Direct Release of Maintenance and Other Operating Expenses (MOOE's) Allocations of Schools to the Respective Implementing Units.

The Department of Budget and Management (DBM) and DepEd Joint Circular no. 2004-1 dated January 1, entitled "Guidelines on the Direct Release of Funds to DepEd Regional Offices and Implementing Units." This school heads law guides the on the management, operation and control of the financial operations at the school level.

Republic Act No. 9155 "Governance of Basic Education Act of 2001" Which provides that the appropriations intended for the regional and field offices are to be allocated directly and released immediately by DBM.

Republic Act No. 10533 "Enhanced basic Education Act of 2013", which provides that appropriations for the operationalization of the enhanced basic education be included in the Department Budget.

Schools as organizations in their own right have school managers who are judged with the responsibility of maintaining their stability in order to achieve vision and mission or their organizational goals. The ways where in schools are currently funded have significant impact to its growth, development and as mentioned earlier, its stability.

Given the circumstances, it is necessary to study the implementation of the practices on the disbursement and utilization of MOOE's among Administrators of Basic Education in the Schools Division of Palawan to find out whether such practices with devolution of power called principal empowerment and authority to school level has resulted in school improvements and student achievements.

Moreover, this study examined the problems and challenges confronting the implementation on the practices on the disbursement and utilization of MOOE's among administrators of Basic Education and suggested a strategies and support needed. Findings and evidences is provided, that the effective leadership practices are achieved a positive outcome on the disbursement and utilization of Maintenance and Other Operating Expenses (MOOE's). It is concluded that financial aspect of the school remains of very importance for continued improvement of education.

OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY:

- 1. Determine the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to:
- a. Student Development;
- b. Teachers' development;
- c. Physical facilities maintenance and development;
- d. Materials and equipment;
- e. Water, Illumination and power services;
- f. Supplies
- g. Communication services; and
- h. Other services
- 2. Determine if there is a significant difference on the observation of the teachers over the actual practice of the administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school.
- 3. Determine if there is a significant difference on the practices of the Elementary and Secondary school administrator in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE fund.

SCOPE AND DELIMITATIONS:

The focus of this study is the practices of school administrators on the disbursement and utilization of the MOOE's in the Southern Division of Palawan. The scope of this study is the one hundred forty-one (141) elementary schools and fifty-five (55) secondary schools in the Southern Schools Division of Palawan, Province of Palawan.

This study was limited only to the following variables: practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to: Student Development; Teacher's development; Physical facilities maintenance and development; Materials and equipment; Water, Illumination and power services; Supplies Communication services; and Other services.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Locale of the Study:

This study was conducted in the one hundred forty-one (141) elementary school and fifty-five (55) secondary schools in the Schools Division of Palawan.

Research Design:

This study used the descriptive correlational and comparative research.

Population of the Study:

The respondents of the study were composed of one hundred forty-one (141) elementary school, fifty-five (55) secondary School administrators as well as sixty percent (60%) of their teachers located in different schools of Southern Palawan.

Sampling Procedure:

The respondents of this study were taken from Schools in Southern Palawan, Schools Division of Palawan. There were one hundred forty-one (141) elementary schools and fifty (55) secondary schools under the six (6) municipality of this particular study. The total highest number of teacher respondents were from the school with the highest population. The least total number of respondents were from the smallest school.

The respondents were composed of one hundred forty-one (141) elementary school, and fifty-five (55) secondary school, the researchers used the Slovin's formula to determine the number of schools that are involved in the study.

Instrumentation:

Two survey questionnaires were used to gather the needed data and information for the study. A separate questionnaire was used for the teacher respondent and another set for the administrator. The questionnaire is selfformulated based on the readings of the researchers and the interview conducted from teachers of a non-participant school and it was validated and modified for this particular study, Administrator Respondent Questionnaire.

Treatment of Data:

The Spearman rank-order correlation coefficient (Spearman's correlation, for short) was used to measure relationships between variables in this study

RESULTS OF THE STUDY:

Practices of the school Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to Students Development

Results shows that among the indicators related to learner's development, rank 1 is indicator 6 stating that fund is provided to finance the graduation rites with a weighted response of 4.72 which means of very much extent, rank 2 is indicator 4 stating that funds for activities of students are provided, rank 3 is indicator 2 stating that transportation expenses

is provided with a weighted response of 4.06 which means of much extent, rank 4 is indicator 5 stating that fund is provided for the activities in school with a weighted response of 3.95 which means of much extent, rank 5 is indicator 1 stating the provision for students' registration fees was rated much extent with a mean response of 3.90, rank 6 is indicator 3 stating that experts are hired to train students in sports with a weighted response of 3.58 which means of much extent.

It implies that all five indicators for the disbursement and utilization of funds for learners' development are all observed and implemented while the practice on providing fund for graduation rites is very much observed and well take-care-off by school administrators.

Table 1- Assessment of school administrators on their practice in disbursing and utilizing MOOE funds in relation to students'

develo	opment.
--------	---------

			1				
Pupil		ntary		-	Comp		Rank
	WM	VI	WM	VI	WM	VI	
Pays student's							
registration							
fees in Quiz	3.86	ME	3.94	ME	3.90	ME	5
Bee							
competitions.							
Provides for							
student's							
transportation	4.01	МГ	4 1 0	МГ	4.00	МГ	2
expenses to	4.01	ME	4.10	ME	4.06	ME	3
National							
Competitions.							
Hires experts							
to train							
students in							
sports, such as	3.34	ME	3.81	ME	3.58	ME	6
basketball,							
football,							
volleyball, etc.							
Employs							
teacher							
services to	4.23	ME	4.37	ME	4.30	ME	2
review	-		-	-			
potential							
F							

		1010	···· / / / / / /	UUUU	0, jun		_
students after							
class hours.							
Provide funds							
for activities	3.96	ME	2.04	ME	2.05	ME	4
of students in	5.90	IVI C	3.94	IVI E	3.95	IVIE	4
school.							
Finances							
expenses							
pertaining to	4.58	VME	4.86	ME	4.72	VME	1
graduation	4.30	VIVIE	4.00	IVI E	4.72	VIVIE	T
rites of							
students.							
Composite							
Weighted	4.00	ME	4.17	ME	4.08	ME	
Mean							

Practices of the School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to Teachers Development

Table 2 shows that among the indicators related to Teachers' Development, first in rank was indicator 6 with a weighted response of 4.48 which means with much extent, second in rank is indicator 3 with a weighted response of 4.27 which means with much extent, third in rank was indicator 5 with a weighted response of 4.22 which means with much extent, fourth in rank was indicator 4 with a weighted response of 4.20 which means with much extent, fifth in rank is indicator 1 with a weighted response of 4.19 which means with much extent, and sixth in rank was indicator 2 with a weighted response of 3.43 which means with much extent.

It connotes that all the six indicators on the Assessment of Practices of Disbursing and Utilizing MOOE Funds in Relation to Teachers Development are all religiously observed and implemented by school administrators, in which imply that the school administrator acknowledge the need of the teacher to be incapacitated with different training for the benefits of the learners.

Table 2- Assessment of school administrators on their practice in disbursing and utilizing MOOE funds in relation to teachers'

development.

B. Teacher	Flome	entary	Seco	ndarv	Comp	osito	
Development	WM	VI	WM	VI	WM	VI	Rank
Requires	VV 1V1	V I	VV 1VI	V I	VV 1VI	V I	
teachers to							
attend							
seminars and	4.27	ME	4.11	ME	4.19	ME	5
trainings paid thru MOOE							
Pays							
airline/boat							
tickets of							
teachers to							
attend	3.47	ME	3.38	ME	3.43	ME	6
important							
meetings in							
Manila and							
other places in							
the country.							
Distributes							
teaching							
materials,							
such as							
cartolina,	4.61	VME	3.92	ME	4.27	ME	2
manila paper,							
ball pen, chalk,							
pentel pen,							
etc.							
Pays							
transportation							
expenses of							
teachers	4.27	ME	4.13	ME	4.20	ME	4
during meets							
and academic							
competitions.							
Pays lodging							
and bus/ taxi							
fare when							
teachers	4.21	ME	4.23	ME	4.22	ME	3
travel on							0
official							
business							
Provides							
funds for							
training	4.37	ME	4.58	VME	4.48	ME	1
activities							
Composite							
	1 20	ME	1.04	МГ	1 1 2	ME	
Weighted	4.20	ME	4.06	ME	4.13	ME	
Mean							

Practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the

school in relation to Physical Facilities, Maintenance, and Development

Table 4 presents that among the indicators, first in rank was indicator 3 with a weighted response of 4.45 which means with much extent, second in rank was indicator 1 with a weighted response of 4.23, third in rank was indicator 4 with a weighted response of 4.10 which means with much extent, and fourth in rank was indicator 2 which means with much extent.

It connotes that all the indicators on the assessment of the practices in disbursing and utilizing MOOE funds in related to physical facilities, maintenance, and development are provided by the school administrators., it implies that the school administrators allocated fund for the minor repairs of the school facilities.

Table 3- Assessment of the school administrators on their practice in disbursing and utilizing MOOE funds in relation to physical facilities, maintenance, and development.

		acre	lopine				
C. Physical	Eleme	ntary	Secor	ndary	Comp	osite	
Facilities, Maintenance and Development	WM	VI	WM	VI	WM	VI	Rank
Pays for the minor repair of classrooms.	4.27	ME	4.18	ME	4.23	ME	2
Pays for the repair of computer units.	3.42	ME	3.31	ME	3.37	ME	4
Procures ink for the printer.	4.28	ME	4.62	VME	4.45	ME	1
Makes available the repair man for broken equipment and facilities.	4.09	ME	4.12	ME	4.10	ME	3
Composite Weighted Mean	4.01	ME	4.06	ME	4.04	ME	

Practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to Materials and Equipment

The data shows that among the three indicators, first in rank was indicator 2 with a weighted response of 3.86 which means with much extent, second in rank was indicator 3 with a weighted response of 3.50 which means with much extent, and third in rank was indicator 1 with a weighted response of 3.33 which means with some extent.

It suggests that all the indicators for the assessment on the practices of school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds in relation to materials and equipment with a composite weighted mean of 3.56 were all rated with much extent.

It implies that the administrators evidently allocated funds for the materials and equipment.

Table 4 -Assessment of the school administrators on their practice in disbursing and utilizing MOOE funds in relation to materials and equipment.

			-	-			
D. Materials and	Elementary		Secon	econdary		Composite	
Equipment	WM	VI	WM	VI	WM	VI	Rank
Procures athletic equipment for school use.	3.28	SE	3.37	SE	3.33	SE	3
rovides for students' needs during quartering of athletes. Provides	3.74	ME	3.98	ME	3.86	ME	1
drinking jars in classrooms for the teacher and	3.42	SE	3.58	ME	3.50	ME	2
students' use Composite Weighted Mean	3.48	SE	3.64	ME	3.56	ME	

Practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to Water, Illumination, and Power Services

It could be gleaned from the data that among the indicators, first in rank was indicator 3 with a weighted response of 3.91 which means with much extent, second in rank was indicator 2 with a weighted response of 3.81 which means with much extent, third in rank was indicator 1 with a weighted response of 3.62 which means with much extent.

Table 6 suggested that all the indicators in the assessment on the Practices of School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing MOOE Funds in Relation to Water, Illumination, and Power Services with a composite weighted mean of 3.78 were all rated with much extent. The result revealed that school administrators Funds allotted in Relation to Water. Illumination, and Power Services, and are all administered and implemented, it implies that the school administrators pays for the water and electric consumption of the school.

Table 5 -Assessment of the school administrators on their practice in disbursing and utilizing MOOE funds in relation to water, illumination, and power services.

E Water, Secondar Elementary Composite Illumination v Rank and Power WM VI WM VI WM VI Services Pays the cost of electricity 3.62 ME ME 3.62 ME 3 3.63 consumed every month Answer cost of water 3.79 ME 3.83 ME 3.81 ME 2 consumption monthly Hires plumber or electrician to 3.89 ME 3.92 ME 3.91 ME 1 do minor repairs Composite Weighted 3.76 ME 3.79 ME 3.78 ME Mean

Practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to Supplies

The table show that among the indicators, first in rank was indicator 1 with a weighted response of 3.96 which means with much extent, second in rank was indicator 4 with a weighted response of 3.83 which means with much extent, third in rank was indicator 3 with a weighted response of 3.81 which means with much extent.

It implies that all the indicators for the assessment on the Practices of School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing MOOE Funds in Relation to Supplies with a composite weighted mean of 3.87 were all rated with much extent, which means that the school administrators allocated fund needed based on needs.

Table 6- Assessment of the school administrators on their practices in disbursing and utilizing MOOE funds in relation to

supplies.

		P	pneor				
E Supplies	Eleme	ntary	Secon	dary	Comp	osite	Rank
F. Supplies	WM	VI	WM	VI	WM	VI	Капк
Purchases							
materials for							
teachers' party,							
such as	3.96	ME	3.96	ME	3.96	ME	1
disposable cups,							
plates, spoons,							
forks, etc.							
Provides fuel of							
school service	3.80	ME	3.83	ME	3.81	ME	3
(transportation)							
Procures							
materials to	3.82	ME	3.84	ME	3.83	ME	2
decorate	5.02	ME	5.04	IVIE	3.03	IVIE	2
function room							
Composite							
Weighted	3.86	ME	3.88	ME	3.87	ME	
Mean							

Practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to Communication Services

The table show that among the indicators, first in rank was indicator 6 with a

weighted response of 4.13 which means with much extent, second in rank was indicator 2 with a weighted response of 4.10 which means with much extent, third in rank was indicator 1 with a weighted response of 4.10 which means with much extent, fourth in rank was indicator 5 with a weighted response of 3.75 which means with much extent, fifth in rank was indicator 3 with a weighted response of 3.74 which means with moderate extent, and sixth in rank was indicator 4 with a weighted response of 3.64 which means with much extent.

The finding discloses that all the indicators for the assessment on the Practices of School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing MOOE Funds in Relation to Communication Services with a composite weighted mean of 3.89 were all rated with much extent.

It implies that the school administrators allocated funds to pay for the communication services.

Table 7- Assessment of the school administrators on their practice in disbursing and utilizing MOOE funds in relation to communication services.

G. Communication ServicesElemetrySecontryComposite RankWMVIWMVIWMVIRankPays the telephone bill3.98ME4.01ME4.00ME3each month Pays the internet connections in the school4.11ME4.10ME4.10ME2Pays for the wireless and3.74ME3.74ME3.74ME5
ServicesWMVIWMVIWMVIPays the telephone bill3.98ME4.01ME 4.00ME3 each month Pays the internet connections in4.11ME4.10ME 4.10ME2 the school Pays for theImage: Second Se
ServicesAndAndAndAndAndPays the telephone bill3.98ME4.01ME 4.00 ME 3 each month Pays the internet connections in4.11ME4.10ME 4.10 ME 2 the school Pays for theImage: Service and the school Pays for theImage: Service and the schoolImage: Service and the schoolImage: Service and the schoolImage: Service and the schoolImage: Service and the school
telephone bill3.98ME4.01ME 4.00 ME 3 each monthPays the internetconnections in4.11ME4.10ME 4.10 ME 2 the schoolPays for the
each month Pays the internet connections in 4.11 ME 4.10 ME 4.10 ME 2 the school Pays for the
Pays the internet connections in 4.11 ME 4.10 ME 4.10 ME 2 the school Pays for the
connections in 4.11 ME 4.10 ME 4.10 ME 2 the school Pays for the
the school Pays for the
Pays for the
5
wireless and 3.74 ME 3.74 ME 3.74 ME 5
cable charges
Provides fund
for postal 3.64 ME 3.65 ME 3.64 ME 6
charges
Charges mobile
load to MOOE 3.74 ME 3.76 ME 3.75 ME 4
Pays rent of post
4.12 ME 4.14 ME 4.13 ME 1 office box
Composite 2.00 ME 2.00 ME 2.00 ME
Weighted Mean 3.89 ME 3.90 ME 3.89 ME

23 | Page

Practices of the School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing the MOOE funds of the School in relation to Other Services

It could be gleaned from the data presented that among the indicators, first in rank was indicator 5 with a weighted response of 4.32 which means with much extent, second in rank was indicator 4 with a weighted response of 4.12 which means with much extent, third in rank was indicator 1 with a weighted response of 3.83 which means with much extent, fourth in rank was indicator 2 with a weighted response of 3.74 which means with much extent, fifth in rank was indicator 3 with a weighted response of 3.45 which means with much extent, and

It shows that all the indicators for the assessment on the Practices of School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing MOOE Funds in Relation to Other Services with a composite weighted mean of 3.90 were all rated with much extent, which means that it was enacted and observed by the school head.

The result revealed that the administrators allocated fund for the other services particularly for the binding of compiled memorandums and minor repairs for the upkeep of school.

Table 8 -Assessment of the school administrators on their practice in disbursing and utilizing MOOE funds in relation to other

H. Other Services	Elementar y		Secondary		Composite		Ran	
	WM	VI	WM	VI	WM	VI	k	
Funds for the repair and maintenance of electric wirings	3.8 4	ME	3.8 2	ME	3.8 3	ME	3	
Funds for the repair and maintenance of broken pipes	3.7 6	ME	3.7 2	ME	3.7 4	ME	4	
Pays for printing services	3.4 4	SE	3.4 6	SE	3.4 5	SE	5	
Provides fund for the subscription to magazines and newspapers	4.1 2	ME	4.1 3	ME	4.1 2	ME	2	
Pays for the binding of compiled memorandums, bulletins, etc.	4.3 2	ME	4.3 2	ME	4.3 2	ME	1	
Composite Weighted Mean	3.9 0	ME	3.8 9	ME	3.9 0	ME		

services.

Practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to Students Development as observed by the Teachers

The data shows that among the indicators, first in rank was indicator 4 with a weighted response of 4.18 which means with much extent, second in rank was indicator 2 with a weighted response of 3.99 which means with much extent, third in rank was indicator 5 with a weighted response of 3.87 which means with much extent, fourth in rank was indicator 1 with a weighted response of 3.82 which means with much extent, fifth in rank was indicator 6 with a weighted response of 3.76 which means with much extent, and sixth in rank was indicator 3 with a weighted response of 3.69 which means with much extent.

The data suggest that the assessment on the Practices of School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing MOOE Funds in Relation to Learners Development with a composite weighted mean of 3.88 were all rated with much extent.

Which implies that the school administrators funded learning program to promote quality education for the students to perform better.

Table 9 -Practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to students' development as observed by the teachers.

A. Student Development		Elementar y		Secondar y		Composit e	
	WM	VI	WM	VI	WM	VI	k
Administrator s provides for student's registration fees in Quiz Bee	3.79	ME	3.85	ME	3.82	ME	4
competitions. Administrator s provides for student's transportation expenses to	3.99	ME	3.98	ME	3.99	ME	2

National Competitions. Administrator							
Administrator s hires experts to train students in sports, such as basketball, football, volleyball, etc. Administrator	3.69	ME	3.68	ME	3.69	ME	6
s employs teacher services to review potential students after class hours	4.19	ME	4.16	ME	4.18	ME	1
Administrator s provides funds for activities of students in school Administrator	3.88	ME	3.86	ME	3.87	ME	3
s finances expenses pertaining to graduation rites, moving up or closing ceremonies and recognition	3.77	ME	3.74	ME	3.76	ME	5
activities Composite Weighted Mean	3.89	ME	3.8 8	M E	3.88	ME	

Practices of the School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to Teachers Development as observed by the Teachers

The data shows that among the indicators, first in rank was indicator 5 with a weighted response of 4.19 which means with much extent, second in rank was indicator 1 with a weighted response of 4.09 which means with much extent, third in rank was indicator 3 with a weighted response of 3.86 which means with much extent, fourth in rank was indicator 2 with a weighted response of 3.82 which means with much extent, fifth in rank was indicator 4 with a weighted response of 3.68 which means with much extent, and sixth in rank was

indicator 6 with a weighted response of 3.57 which means with much extent.

It can be deduced that the assessment on the practices in Disbursing and Utilizing MOOE Funds in Relation to Teachers Development are all enforced by school administrators.

Table 10- Practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to teachers' development as observed by the teachers.

		icaci					
B. Teacher	Eleme	Elementary Secondary Composi		osite	e — Rank		
Development	WM	VI	WM	VI	WM	VI	капк
Administrators requires teachers to attend seminars and trainings paid thru MOOE	4.10	ME	4.09	ME	4.09	ME	2
Administrators pays airplane/boat tickets of teachers to attend important meetings in Manila and other places in the country	3.79	ME	3.85	ME	3.82	ME	4
Administrators distributes teaching materials, such as cartolina, manila paper, ball pen, chalk, pentel pen, etc. Administrators	3.88	ME	3.85	ME	3.86	ME	3
provides transportation expenses of teachers during meets and academic competitions.	3.68	ME	3.67	ME	3.68	ME	5
Administrators pays lodging and bus/ taxi fare when teachers travel on official business	4.19	ME	4.18	ME	4.19	ME	1
Administrators support expenses for training activities	3.49	SE	3.65	ME	3.57	ME	6
Composite Weighted Mean	3.85	ME	3.88	ME	3.87	ME	

Practices of the School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to Physical Facilities, Maintenance, and Development as observed by the Teachers

The data shows that among the indicators, first in rank was indicator 4 with a weighted response of 4.09 which means with much extent, second in rank was indicator 2 with a weighted response of 3.77 which means with much extent, third in rank was indicator 1 with a weighted response of 3.68 which means with much extent, and fourth in rank was indicator 3 with a weighted response of 3.67 which means with much extent.

Table 25 presents that all the indicators for the assessment on the Practices of School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing MOOE Funds in Relation to Physical Facilities, and Development with Maintenance. а composite weighted mean of 3.80 were all rated with much extent.

The findings disclose that funds were provided by the school administrator in relation to Physical facilities and maintenance as observed by the teachers.

Table 11- Practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to physical facilities, maintenance, and development as observed by the teachers.

C. Physical Facilities,	Eleme	ntary	Secon	dary	Comp	osite	
Maintenance and Development	WM	VI	WM	VI	WМ	VI	Rank
Administrators spends for the minor repair of the classroom.	3.70	ME	3.67	ME	3.68	ME	3
Administrators pays for the repair of computer units	3.78	ME	3.76	ME	3.77	ME	2
Administrators procures ink for the printer Administrators	3.59	ME	3.76	ME	3.67	ME	4
makes available the repair man for broken equipment and facilities	4.10	ME	4.09	ME	4.09	ME	1
Composite Weighted Mean	3.79	ME	3.82	ME	3.80	ME	

Practices of the School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to Materials and Equipment as observed by the Teachers

It could be gleaned from the data that among the indicators, first in rank was indicator 3 with a weighted mean of 3.94 which means with much extent, second in rank was indicator 1 with a weighted response of 3.77 which means with much extent, third in rank was indicator 2 with a weighted response of 3.68 which means with much extent.

The data provide that the assessment on the Practices of School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing MOOE Funds in Relation to Materials and Equipment with a composite weighted mean of 3.80 were all rated with much extent, it implies that the School head allocated fund for materials and equipment according to the teacher observations.

Table 12- Practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to materials and equipment as observed by the teachers.

		itati	liers.				
D. Materials and	Eleme	ntary	Secon	dary	Comp e		Rank
Equipment	WM	VI	WM	VI	WM	VI	
Administrators procures athletic equipment for school use	3.78	ME	3.76	ME	3.77	ME	2
Administrators provides materials for students' needs during quartering of athletes	3.68	ME	3.67	ME	3.68	ME	3
Administrators provides drinking jars in classrooms for the teacher and students' use	3.91	ME	3.98	ME	3.94	ME	1
Composite Weighted Mean	3.79	ME	3.80	ME	3.80	ME	

Practices of the School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to Water, Illumination, and Power Services as observed by the Teachers

The data shows that among the indicators, rank 1 is indicator 3 with a weighted response of 4.0 which means with much extent, second in rank was indicator 4 with a weighted response of 3.91 which means with much extent, third in rank was indicator 2 with a weighted response of 3.81 which means with much extent, and fourth in rank was indicator 1 with a weighted response of 3.58 which means with much extent.

It implies that all the indicators for the assessment on the Practices of School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing MOOE Funds in Relation to Water, Illumination, and Power Services with a composite weighted mean of 3.82 were all rated with much extent.

From the findings the school administrators pay the electric and water consumption of their schools, which evidently observed by the teachers.

Table 13- Practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to water, illumination, and power services as observed by the teachers.

E Water,	Eleme	ntary	Secon	dary	Comp	osite	-
Illumination and Power	WM	VI	WM	VI	WM	VI	Rank
Services							
Administrators							
pays the cost of							
electricity	3.58	ME	3.56	ME	3.57	ME	4
consumed							
every month							
Administrators							
provides fund							
for the cost of	3.81	ME	3.82	ME	3.81	ME	3
water	5.01	ML	5.02	ML	5.01	ML	5
consumption							
monthly.							
Administrators							
procures gas	4.00	ME	4.00	ME	4.00	ME	1
for the stove in							_
the H.E. bldg.							
Administrators							
hires plumber							_
or electrician	3.90	ME	3.91	ME	3.91	ME	2
to do minor							
repairs							
Composite							
Weighted	3.82	ME	3.82	ME	3.82	ME	
Mean							

Practices of the School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to Supplies as observed by the Teachers

The data shows that among the indicators, first in rank was indicator 2 with a weighted response of 4.10 which means with much extent, second in rank was indicator 1 with a weighted response of 3.87 which means with much extent, third in rank was indicator 3 with a weighted response of 3.80 which means with much extent, and fourth in rank was indicator 4 with a weighted response of 3.89 which means with much extent.

It implies based on the observation of the teachers, that all indicators for the assessment on the Practices of School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing MOOE Funds in Relation to Supplies are all observed and provided by school administrators.

Table 14- Practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to supplies as observed by the Teachers.

E Coundias	Eleme	ntary	Secon	dary	Comp	osite	Daula
F. Supplies	WM	VI	WM	VI	WM	VI	Rank
Administrators purchases materials for teachers' party, such as disposable cups, plates, spoons, forks, etc.	3.88	ME	3.86	ME	3.87	ME	2
Administrators buys assorted medicine for the school clinic	4.10	ME	4.11	ME	4.10	ME	1
Administrators provides fuel for school service (transportation)	3.80	ME	3.80	ME	3.80	ME	3
Administrators procures materials to decorate function room	3.79	ME	3.77	ME	3.78	ME	4
Composite Weighted Mean	3.89	ME	3.89	ME	3.89	ME	

Practices of the School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to Communication Services as observed by the Teachers

It could be gleaned from the data shown below that among the indicators, first in rank was indicator 2 with a weighted response of 4.15 which means with much extent, second in rank was indicator 1 with a weighted response of 4.0 which means with much extent, third in rank was indicator 6 with a weighted response of 4.09 which means with much extent, fourth in rank was indicator 5 with a weighted response of 3.75 which means with much extent, fifth in rank was indicator 3 with a weighted response of 3.69 which means with much extent, and sixth in rank was indicator 4 with a weighted response of 3.75 which means with much extent.

It reflects that all the indicators for the assessment on the Practices of School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing MOOE Funds in Relation to Communication Services with a composite mean of 3.87 were all rated with much extent, which further implies that administrators allocated funds for communications services.

Table 15 -Practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to communication services as observed by the teachers.

			_				
G. Communication	Eleme	ntary	Secon	dary	Comp	osite	Rank
Services	WM	VI	WM	VI	WM	VI	капк
Administrators provides fund for the telephone bill each month.	4.00	ME	4.00	ME	4.00	ME	2
Administrators provides fund for the internet connections in the school.	4.15	ME	4.09	ME	4.12	ME	1
Administrators provides fund for wireless and cable charges.	3.69	ME	3.69	ME	3.69	ME	5
Administrators provides fund for postal charges.	3.58	ME	3.56	ME	3.57	ME	6

			•				
Administrators charges, mobile load to MOOE.	3.68	ME	3.81	ME	3.75	ME	4
Administrators provides fund for the rent of post office	4.09	ME	4.09	ME	4.09	ME	3
box.							
Composite Weighted Mean	3.87	ME	3.87	ME	3.87	ME	

Practices of the School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to Other Services as observed by the Teachers

The data shows that among the indicators, first in rank was indicator 5 with a weighted response of 4.21 which means with much extent, second in rank was indicator 4 with a weighted response of 4.09 which means with much extent, third in rank was indicator 1 with a weighted mean of 3.83 which means with much extent, fourth in rank was indicator 2 with a weighted response of 3.63 which means with much extent, fifth in rank was indicator 6 with a weighted mean of 3.57 which means with much extent, and sixth in rank was indicator 3 with a weighted response of 3.40 which means with much extent.

It suggests that all the indicators for the assessment on the Practices of School Administrators in Disbursing and Utilizing MOOE Funds in Relation to Other Services with a composite weighted mean of 3.79 were all rated with much extent, which implies that the school administrators allocated fund for Other Services as observed by their teachers.

Table 16 -Practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to other services as observed by the teachers.

H. Other	Eleme y		Secor y	ndar	Comp e		Ran	
Services	WM	VI	WM	VI	WM	VI	k	
Administrators funds repair and	3.79	ME	3.86	ME	3.83	ME	3	

maintenance of electric wirings Administrators							
funds repair and maintenance of broken pipes Administrators	3.68	ME	3.59	ME	3.63	ME	4
provides fund for printing services Administrators provides fund	3.40	SE	3.40	SE	3.40	SE	6
for the subscription to magazines and newspapers Administrators	4.09	ME	4.09	ME	4.09	ME	2
provides fund for the binding of compiled memorandums , bulletins, etc. Administrators provides fund for the meals	4.29	ME	4.12	ME	4.21	ME	1
and transportation of employees doing overtime work	3.58	ME	3.56	ME	3.57	ME	5
Composite Weighted Mean	3.80	ME	3.7 7	M E	3.79	ME	

Difference on the observation of the teachers and the practices of administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to the following variables when grouped according to Age

Table 17 presents the difference in the observation of the teachers on the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds when grouped according to age.

It was revealed that the observation of the teachers when grouped according to age relative to the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds as to "Learner Development", "Teacher Development", "Physical Facilities, Maintenance, and Development", "Materials and Equipment", "Water, Illumination, and Power Services", "Supplies", "Communication Services", and "Other Services" obtained a computed f – values of 1.03, 0.78, 1.01, 0.88, 0.47, 1.34, 1.47. and 0.97, respectively, which were all lesser that the critical value of 2.60 at 5 percent level of significance with degree of freedom 3, 1276.

Moreover, it can be noted that the null hypothesis was accepted which means that there was no significant difference on the observation of the teachers when grouped according to age in the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds as to "Learner Development", "Teacher Development", "Physical Facilities, Maintenance, and Development", "Materials and Equipment", "Water, Illumination, and Power Services", "Supplies", "Communication Services", and "Other Services".

The result revealed that the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE as to learner development, teacher development, physical facilities, maintenance, and development, materials and equipment, water, illumination, and power services, supplies, communication services, and other services was coherently perceived by teachers when grouped according to age.

Table 17- Differences in the observation of the

teachers on the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds when grouped according to age.

		0	1		0 0
Variables		Fcom	Fcrit	Decision	conclusion
Learner Development	1.3	2.6 0	Accept	H _o Not S	Significant
Teacher Development	0.7 8	2.6 0	Accept	H _o Not S	Significant
Physical Facilities, Maintenance, and Development	1.0 1	2.6 0	Accept	Ho Not S	Significant
Materials and Equipment	0.8 8	2.6 0	Accept	H _o Not S	Significant

Water, Illumination, and Power Services	0.4 7	2.6 0	Accept H	Io Not Si	gnificant
Supplies	1.3 4	2.6 0	Accept H _o	Not Significan	t
Communicati	1.4	2.6		Not	
on Services	7	0	Accept H _o	Significa	int
Other Servic	es	0. 7		Accept H _o	Not Significant

 α = 0.05 at df = 3, 1276

Difference on the observation of the teachers and the practices of administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to the following variables when grouped according to Sex

Table 19 presents the difference in the observation of the teachers on the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds when grouped according to sex.

It was revealed that the observation of the teachers when grouped according to sex relative to the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds as to "Learner Development", "Teacher Development", "Physical Facilities, Maintenance, and Development", "Materials and Equipment", "Water, Illumination, and Power "Supplies", Services". "Communication Services", and "Other Services" obtained a computed t -values of 0.61, 0.73, 1.01, 0.64, 1.08, 0.54, 0.68, and 0.78, respectively, which were all lesser than the critical value of 1.65 at 5 percent level of significance with degree of freedom 1278.

Moreover, it can be noted that the null hypothesis was accepted which means that there was no significant difference on the observation of the teachers when grouped according to sex in the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds as to "Learner Development", "Teacher Development", "Physical Facilities, Maintenance, and Development", "Materials and Equipment", "Water, Illumination, and Power Services", "Supplies", "Communication Services", and "Other Services".

This implies that the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE as to learner development, teacher development, physical facilities, maintenance, and development, materials and equipment, water, illumination, and power services, supplies, communication services, and other services was coherently perceived by teachers when grouped according to sex.

Table 19- Differences in the observation of the

teachers on the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds when grouped according to sex.

		_	0.11	
Variable s	t _{com}	t _{crit}	Decision	conclusion
Learner Develop ment	0.6 1	1. 65	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Teacher Develop ment Physical Facilities	0.7 3	1. 65	Accept H_{o}	Not Significant
, Mainten ance, and Develop ment	1.0 1	1. 65	Accept H₀	Not Significant
Material s and Equipme nt Water,	0.6 4	1. 65	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Illumina tion, and Power	1.0 8.	1. 65	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Services Supplies	0.5 4	1. 65	Accept H_{o}	Not Significant
Communication Services).68	1. Accept H 65	Not • Significan t
Other Servi	ces		0.78 ^{1.6} 5	Accent Ha

 α = 0.05 at df = 1278

Difference on the observation of the teachers and the practices of administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to the following variables when grouped according to Civil Status

Table 20 presents the difference in the observation of the teachers on the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds when grouped according to civil status.

It was revealed that the observation of the teachers when grouped according to civil status relative to the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds as to "Learner Development", "Teacher Development", "Physical Facilities, Maintenance, and Development", "Materials and Equipment", "Water, Illumination, and Power Services". "Supplies", "Communication Services", and "Other Services" obtained a computed f-values of 0.87, 0.43, 0.19, 0.87, 0.68, 0.56, 1.06, and 0.94, respectively, which were all lesser that the critical value of 3.00 at 5 percent level of significance with degree of freedom 2, 1277.

Moreover, it can be noted that the null hypothesis was accepted which means that there was no significant difference on the observation of the teachers when grouped according to civil status in the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds as to "Learner Development", "Teacher Development", "Physical Facilities, Maintenance, and Development", "Materials and Equipment", "Water, Illumination, and Power Services", "Supplies", "Communication Services", and "Other Services".

This revealed that the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE as to learner development, teacher development, physical facilities, maintenance, and development, materials and equipment, water, illumination, and power services, supplies, communication services, and other services was coherently perceived by teachers when grouped according to civil status. Table 20 Differences in the observation of the

teachers on the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds when grouped according to civil

Variables	F_{com}	Fcrit	Decision	Conclusion
Learner	0.87	3.00	Accept	Not
Development	0.07 5.00		Ho	Significant
Teacher	0.43	3.00	Accept	Not
Development	0.43 3.00		Ho	Significant
Physical Facilities, Maintenance, and Development	0.19	3.00	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Materials and Equipment	0.87	3.00	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Water, Illumination, and Power Services	0.68	3.00	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Supplies	0.56	3.00	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Communication Services	1.06	3.00	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Other Services	0.94	3.00	Accept H _o	Not Significant

 α = 0.05 at df = 2, 1277

Difference on the observation of the teachers and the practices of administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to the following variables as grouped according to Highest degree earned

It can be gleaned from Table 42 the difference in the observation of the teachers on the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds when grouped according to highest degree earned.

It was revealed that the observation of the teachers when grouped according to highest degree earned relative to the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds as to "Learner Development", "Teacher Development", "Physical Facilities, Maintenance, and Development", "Materials and Equipment", "Water, Illumination, and Power Services", "Supplies", "Communication Services", and "Other Services" obtained a computed f-values of 1.01, 1.08, 1.06, 0.97, 0.68, 1.11, 1.08, and 0.89, respectively, which were all lesser that the critical value of 2.37 at 5 percent level of significance with degree of freedom 4, 1275.

Moreover, it can be noted that the null hypothesis was accepted which means that there was no significant difference on the observation of the teachers when grouped according to highest degree earned in the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds as to "Learner Development", "Teacher Development", "Physical Facilities. Maintenance, and Development", "Materials and Equipment", "Water, Illumination, and Power Services", "Supplies", "Communication Services", and "Other Services".

It can be deduced that the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE as to learner development, teacher development, physical facilities, maintenance, and development, materials and equipment, water, illumination, and power services, supplies, communication services, and other services was coherently perceived by teachers when grouped according to highest degree earned.

Table 21 -Differences in the observation of the teachers on the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds when grouped according to highest degree earned.

Variables	F com	F crit	Decision	conclusion
Learner Development	1.01	2.37	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Teacher Development	1.08	2.37	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Physical Facilities, Maintenance, and Development	1.06	2.37	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Materials and Equipment	0.97	2.37	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Water, Illumination, and Power Services	0.68	2.37	Accept H_{o}	Not Significant
Supplies	1.11	2.37	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Communication Services	1.08	2.37	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Other Services	0.89	2.37	Accept H _o	Not Significant

 α = 0.05 at df = 4, 1275

Difference on the observation of the teachers and the practices of administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school in relation to the following variables as grouped according to the number of years of experience as classroom teacher

Table 21 presents the significant difference in the observation of the teachers on the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds when grouped according to number of years of experience as classroom teacher.

It was revealed that the observation of the teachers when grouped according to number of years of experience as classroom teacher relative to the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds as to "Learner Development", "Teacher Development", "Physical Facilities, Maintenance, and Development", "Materials and Equipment", "Water, Illumination, and Power Services". "Supplies", "Communication Services", and "Other Services" obtained a computed f - test values of 1.64, 0.89, 1.02, 1.11, 0.85, 0.78, 0.99, and 1.08,, respectively, which were all lesser that the critical value of 2.01 at 5 percent level of significance with degree of freedom 7, 1272.

Moreover, it can be noted that the null hypothesis was accepted which means that there was no significant difference on the observation of the teachers when grouped according to number of years of experience as classroom teacher in the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds as to "Learner Development", "Teacher Development", "Physical Facilities, Maintenance, and Development", "Materials and Equipment", "Water, Illumination, and Power Services", "Supplies", "Communication Services", and "Other Services". This implies that the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE as to learner development, teacher development, physical facilities, maintenance, and development, materials and equipment, water, illumination, and power services, supplies, communication services, and other services was coherently perceived by teachers when grouped according to number of years of experience as classroom teacher.

Table 22- Differences in the observation of the teachers on the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds when grouped according to number of years of experience as classroom teacher.

Wardahlar	F	F	Decisio	Ganalaatan
Variables	com	crit	n	Conclusion
Learner	1.6	2.0	Accept	Not
Development	4	1	Ho	Significant
Teacher	0.8	2.0	Accept	Not
Development	9	1	Ho	Significant
Physical Facilities, Maintenance, and Development	1.0 2	2.0 1	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Materials and	1.1	2.0	Accept	Not
Equipment	1	1	Ho	Significant
Water, Illumination, and Power Services	0.8 5	2.0 1	Accept Ho	Not Significant
Supplies	0.7	2.0	Accept	Not
	8	1	Ho	Significant
Communication	0.9	2.0	Accept	Not
Services	9	1	Ho	Significant
Other Services	1.0 8	2.0 1	Accept H _o	Not Significant

 α = 0.05 at df = 7, 1272

Difference on the observation of the teachers over the actual practice of the administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school

Table 23 presents the difference in the observation of the elementary and secondary teachers on the overall practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds.

It was revealed that the observation of elementary teachers and secondary the teachers in the overall observation on the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds as to "Learner Development", "Teacher Development", "Physical Facilities. Maintenance, and Development", "Materials and Equipment", "Water, Illumination, and Power Services", "Supplies", "Communication Services", and "Other Services" obtained a computed t - test values of 0.61, 0.73, 1.01, 0.64, 1.08, 0.54, 0.68, and 0.78, respectively, which were all lesser that the critical value of 1.65 at 5 percent level of significance with degree of freedom 1278.

Furthermore, it can be noted that the null hypothesis was accepted which means that there was no significant difference on the overall observation of the elementary teachers and secondary teachers in the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds as to "Learner Development", Development", "Teacher "Physical Facilities. Maintenance, and Development", "Materials and Equipment", "Water, Illumination, and Power Services", "Supplies", "Communication Services", and "Other Services".

This connotes that the overall observation of the elementary teachers and secondary teachers in the practices of the school administrators on disbursing and utilizing the MOOE as to learner development, teacher development, physical facilities, maintenance, and development, materials and equipment, water, illumination, and power services, supplies, communication services, and other services was coherently observed by teachers. The result revealed that the teachers are aware how the school administrators disbursed and utilized the MOOE fund.

Table 23- Difference on the observation of the teachers over the actual practice of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE fund

MODE Iuliu.						
Variables	t _{valu} e	t _{critica}	Decisio n	Conclusion		
Learner Development	0.61	1.6	5 Acce	pt H₀ Not Significant		
Teacher Development	0.73	1.6	5 Accep	ot H₀ Not Significant		
Physical Facilities, Maintenance, and	1.01	1.6	5 Accep	nt H₀ Not Significant		
Development Materials and Equipment Water.	0.64	1.6	5 Accep	tH₀ Not Significant		
water, Illumination, and Power Services	1.08.	1.6	5 Accep	nt H₀ Not Significant		
Supplies	0.54	1.6	5 Accep	ot H₀ Not Significant		
Communicatio n Services	0.6 8	1.65	Accept H _o	Not Significant		
Other Services	0.7 8	1.65	Accept Ho	Not Significant		

 α = 0.05 at df = 1278

Difference on the Practices of the Elementary and Secondary School Administrator in Disbursing and Utilizing the MOOE fund

It can be gleaned from Table 45 the significant difference between the elementary school administrators and secondary administrators' assessment on the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds.

It was revealed that the assessment of the elementary and secondary administrators on the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds as to "Learner Development", "Teacher Development", "Physical Facilities. Maintenance, and Development", "Materials and Equipment", "Water, Illumination, and Power "Supplies", Services", "Communication Services", and "Other Services" obtained a computed t - values of 0.34, 0.17, 0.48, 0.26, 0.32, 0.17, 0.78, and 0.61, respectively, which were all lesser that the critical value of 1.98 at 5 percent level of significance with degree of freedom 142.

Furthermore, it can be noted that the null hypothesis was accepted which means that there was no significant difference in the assessment of the school administrators on the overall observation of the elementary teachers and secondary teachers in the practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds as to "Learner Development", "Teacher Development", "Physical Facilities, Maintenance, and Development", "Materials and Equipment", "Water, Illumination, and Power Services", "Supplies", "Communication Services", and "Other Services".

This implies that the school administrators in the elementary and secondary in the practices of the school administrators on disbursing and utilizing the MOOE as to learner development, teacher development, physical facilities, maintenance, and development, materials and equipment, water, illumination, and power services, supplies, communication services, and other services was coherently assessed.

Table 24 -Difference between elementary and secondary school administrators on the disbursing and utilizing the MOOE fund.

Variables	tvalue	tcritical	Decision	Conclusion
Learner Development	0.34	1.98	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Teacher Development	0.17	1.98	Accept H_{o}	Not Significant
Physical Facilities, Maintenance, and Development	0.48	1.98	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Materials and Equipment	0.26	1.98	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Water, Illumination, and Power Services	0.32	1.98	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Supplies	0.17	1.98	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Communication Services	0.78	1.98	Accept H _o	Not Significant
Other Services	0.61	1.98	Accept H_{o}	Not Significant

α = 0.05 at df = 142

CONCLUSIONS:

- 1. There is no significant difference on the observation of the teachers and the practices of administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school when grouped according to age, sex, civil status, highest degree obtained, and number of years of experience.
- 2. There is no significant difference on the observation of the teachers over the actual practice of the School Administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE Fund.
- 3. The practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds in relation to Student Development; Teachers development; Physical facilities maintenance and development; Materials and equipment; Water, Illumination and power services; Supplies; Communication services; and 0ther services were much extent as assessed by the school administrators themselves observed by the teachers under their supervision.
- 4. The School administrators and their teachers supervised were having an equal ratings with regards to the practices of the school administrators' in the disbursement and utilization of MOOE.

RECOMMENDATIONS:

1. The practices of the school administrators in disbursing and utilizing the MOOE funds of the school should be further enhanced from much evident to very much evident through intensified orientation and trainings among school heads and teachers.

2. The school Administrator should conduct orientation for teacher's awareness of MOOE's.

3. Transparency and ethical practices in schools should be strictly observed through vigilance

and close monitoring of the utilization and disbursement of MOOE.

4. The Department of Education with the support of all the school administrators should design and initiate a professional development training program for the school heads and teachers on the transparent and ethical disbursement and utilization of the MOOE.

REFERENCES:

BOOKS

- Balladares, S. et.al (2009) "Managerial Capability Among Small Enterprise in Nasipit, Agusan Del Norte: Basis for Development Program." Bringham, E. et.al (2004) "Fundamentals of Financial Management" tenth Edition.
- 2) Budget Commission, (1989) National Accounting and Auditing Manual. I. Burkehead, (1986)Government Budgeting, New York: John Wiley & Sions, Inc. David, F. (2013) 14th Edition, Strategic Management Concept and Cases: Competitive Advantage Approach. А DepEd. Philippine Education Indicators Manila (1996) Published by the Instructional Materials Corporation for the Education Press, Manila, Philippines.
- Hartley, H. (1992) Educational Planning, Programming-Budgeting, Englewood Cliffs: Prentice Hall.
- 4) Laws on Education, New Edition, (2006)
 Quezon City: Neo Asia Publishing Incorporated.
- 5) Romualdez, E.S. (1992) Philippines Public Finance, GIC Enterprises and Co., Inc., Manila, Philippines.

PUBLISHED MATERIALS/DISCUSSION PAPER/REPORTS

 Abdulai, I.A. (2012), "Administrative Challenges Encountered at Accra College of Education". Abulon, E. (2015), Basic

VOLUME 7, ISSUE 6, June. -2021

JournalNX- A Multidisciplinary Peer Reviewed Journal

ISSN No: 2581 - 4230

education teachers' concept of effective teaching: Inputs to teacher education curriculum in the Philippines. International Journal of Research Studies in Education. Volume 3, Number 3, July, 2014.

- Agir, R. (2019). School Heads' Extent of Instructional Supervision as Perceived by Senior High School Teachers in Relation to Their Teaching Performance and Job Satisfaction. A Doctorate Dissertation Foundation University, Dumaguete City.
- Asian Development Bank (2001)
 "Decentralization of Basic Education Management," ADB Technical Assistance Report Project No. 3115-PHI.
- 4) Boeskens, L. (2016), "Regulating Publicly Funded Private Schools: A Literature Review on Equity and Effectiveness", OECD Education Working Papers, No. 147, OECD Publishing, Paris
- 5) Boncodin, E. and Nugui, W. (2008) "Equitable allocation of DepEd MOOE." Report submitted to support for Philippine Basic Education Reforms (SPHERE) AusAid-DepED.
- 6) Cleveland, M. (2016), Financial accountability, the principal or the school governing body. South African Journal of Education, 24(2):126-132.
- 7) Chen, H. & Volpe, D. (2016), Financial Management. 5th Ed. Lands down: Juta& Co.
- 8) Comighud, S.M (2018) "Determine the extent of Utilization of MOOE's in Relation to Student AAcademic performance in the Public Schools of Bayawan City Divisionn Negros Occidental".
- 9) Doherty, R. (2016), The missing factor in accountability: Improving control internal practices for school financial management, 3(29), May Fakharzadeh, T. (2016), "Budgeting and Accounting in OECD Education Systems: A Literature Review", OECD Education Working Papers, No. 128,

OECD Publishing, Paris, http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/5jm3xgsz03khen.

- 10)Fuentes, M. (2015), A call for review of financial management curriculum in management institutions. Journal of Financial Management and Analysis, 18(1):70-81.
- 11)Ghalib, R. (2016), Financial school management explained. 2nd Ed. Cape Town: Maskew Miller.
- 12)Gibbs, R. (2016), Finance Education in a climate of change. 10th Ed. Boston: Pearson Education.
- 13)Goldberg, T. (2016), The new budgeting approach in South Africa: a critical assessment. Journal of Public Administration, 42(4):761-775. Page | 126 Grant, J. (2016), "School finance reform and the distribution of student achievement", Northwestern Institute for Policy Research Working
- 14)Paper Series, WP-16-04, Northwestern University. Heck, R. & Chang, J. (2017) Examining the Timing of Educational Changes Among Elementary Schools after the Implementation of NCLB.
- 15)Horstman N.J. (2017). Financing Education in England in the Comparative Educational Review Journal, Vol 31, p 260-272.
- 16)Hudges, J. 2013. Managing Finance and External relations in South African Schools. London: Commonwealth Secretariat.
- 17)Krueger, A. (2017), "Experimental estimates of education production Manasan, R. G. (2004) "Local Public Finance in the Philippines: In Search of autonomy with countability," PDS Discussion Paper No. 2004-42, Makati: Philippine Institute for Development Studies.
- 18)Manasan, R. G. (2011) "Financing Social Programs in the Philippines: Public Policy and Budget Restructuring".Manasan, R. and

Atkin, J. (2001) "Normative Financing in Basic Education" Report submitted to ADB and DepEd, April.

- 19) Mansan, V. (2017), "The economics of tracking and non-tracking", Working Paper No. 50, Cesifo, Munich.
- 20)Miranda, M. N. (2015) "Practices of Selected Secondary School Administrators in the Disbursement and Utilization of Maintenance and Other Operating Expenses (MOOE) in the City Schools Division of Puerto Princesa".
- 21)Ochada, M.E. (2018), "Concepts of educational efficiency and effectiveness", Human Resources Development and Operations Policy Working Paper, No. HRO 24, World Bank, Washington, DC.
- 22)Pandy, D. (2016), Focus on Operational Management: A Generic approach. Cape Town: Juta
- 23)Robredo, J. M. (1991) "Reinventing Local School Boards in the Philippines."
- 24)Sangian, V.B. (2017) "Operative Fiscal Management Mobility and its Implication to School Performance in Compostela Valley Division" Thompson, M, J. Walkers (2016), "The effect of school finance reforms on the distribution of spending, academic achievement and adult outcomes", NBER Working Paper Series, Working Paper 20118, National Bureau of Economic Research, Cambridge MA
- 25)Totanes, V. (2006) "Money and leadership: a study of theses on Public School Libraries to the University of the Philippines' Institute of Library and Information Science".
- 26)Villamar, F. C. (1992) "Resource Utilization, Financial Procedure and Control," Paper submitted to UNESCO, Bangkok.

ORDERS/DOCUMENTS/BULLETIN

1) Boncodin, E. (2015). MOOE's Boncodin formula.

- 2) Dahlia et al (2016) Towards Enhancing the Managerial Performance of School Heads International Review of Management and Business Research Vol. 5 Issue 2.DepEd Order No. 60, s. (2011).
- 3) DepEd Order No. 12, s. (2014). Implementing Guidelines on the Direct Release Of Maintenance and Other Operating expenses (MOOE) Allocations of Schools to the Respective Implementing Units.
- 4) DepEd Order No. 13, s (2016). Implementing Guidelines on the Direct Release Of Maintenance and Other Operating Expenses (MOOE) Allocations of Schools.
- 5) Escober, J. (2017) Transcript of MOOE https://prezi.com/jwo5vbx6izlt/mooemaintenance-and-other-operating expenses/
- 6) Ghalib, L. (2009). A Field Study in the Republic of Yemen. The Auditors' Commitment to the Requirements of Planning of Audit under the International Auditing Standard No. (300).
- Governance of Basic Education Act of 2001, (2006) Educational Laws, Quezon City: Neo Asia Publishing Incorporated.
- Hill, F.W. (1990). The School Business Administrator. Chicago Association of School Business Officials, Bulletin No. 21.Luistro, A.A. FSC., DepEd Order No. 12, s. 2014, (2014). Implementing Guidelines on the Direct Release of MOOE Allocation of Schools to the Respective Implementing Units, 2014.
- 9) Operative Fiscal Management Mobility and Its Implications to School Performance in Compostela Valley Division Vilma B. Sangian, (2017). Tapia Elementary School, Division of Compostela Valley, Philippines The Asian Conference on

Education2017OfficialConferenceProceedi ngs.

10)http://25qt511nswfi49iayd31ch80-

wpengine.netdna-ssl.com/wpcontent/uploads/papers/ace2017/ACE20 17_36222.pdf

- 11)0PS-DepEd, (1996) Publication on Guidelines for Plan-Budget Preparation. Presidential Decree No. 477, (1996) Public Administration, the **Business** of Government, National Book Store. Read, L and Atinc, M. (2017). Investigations into Using Data to Improve Learning PHILIPPINES CASE STUDY
- 12)https://www.brookings.edu/wpcontent/uploads/2017/03/global-20170307-philippines-casestudy.pdf.Republic Act 9155, (2006) Quezon City: Neo Asia Publishing Incorporated.
- 13)Santos, F.P. (1990) "Preparation of Budget Estimates/Ceilings" Paper presented to Regional Planning and Budgetary Officials by the DepEd, in the seminar on the Preparation of PlN-Budgets- For the Regions.
- 14)School **Operations** and Maintenance (2004). Best Practices for Controlling Energy Costs a Guidebook for K-12 School System Business Officers and Facilities Managers 2004 Princeton Energy Resources International 1700 Rockville Suite550Rockville, Pike MD20852 https://legacy.azdeq.gov/ceh/download/g reenguide2.pdf
- 15)Totanes, V. R. (2006) Money and Leadership; A Study of Theses on Public
- 16)School Libraries Submitted to the University of the Philippines' Institute of Library and Information Science Institute of Library and Information Science, University of the Philippines Asia-Pacific Conference on Library & Information

Education & Practice, https://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/118797 18.pdf

17)University of Cape Coast Administrative Challenges Encountered at Accra CollegeOfIbrahimAliAbdulai2012https://e rl.ucc.edu.gh/jspui/bitstream/12346789/ 2609/1/ABDULAI%202012.pdf.Velarde, D.J (2008) Utilization of MOOE Fund.

WEBSITES

- 1. http://wiki.answers.com/Q/Definemaiantenance-and-other-operating-expenses
- http://.affordablecebu.com/load/schoolsuniversities/guidelines-on-the-utilizationof-the additional-maintenance-and -otheroperating-expenses-mooe-for secondary expansion-schools-offering-sps/23-1-0-1915-
- http://www.pcii.org/blog/wp:docs/Robre do-Reinventing-School-Boards.pdf-Accessed April 2010.