



We will assume that at the input boundary  $\Gamma_{in}$ , the flow value averaged over time and space is positive, and at the output boundary out is negative. The conditions  $u \cdot n < 0$  on  $\Gamma_{in}$  and  $u \cdot n > 0$  on  $\Gamma_{out}$ , generally speaking, may not be fulfilled point wise. The condition for the normal stress at the outflow boundary is a natural boundary condition for the Navier-Stokes equations written in convective form. Its use is very effective for numerical calculations [1].

Suppose that the solution of system (1) is smooth. Then, by scalar multiplication of the equation of moments by the vector  $u$  and subsequent integration of the equality over the domain  $\Omega$ , we obtain the following identity:

$$\frac{\rho}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u\|^2 + v \|\nabla u\|^2 + \int_{\Gamma_{out} \cup \Gamma_{in}} ((p + \frac{\rho}{2} |u|^2) I - v \nabla u) n \cdot u ds = \int_{\Omega} f \cdot u ds, \quad (1.1)$$

$I$  – is the unit matrix. Here and further,  $\|\cdot\|$  —  $L^2$  is the norm. Let's denote the amount of energy for this problem:

$$\mathcal{E}_{3D} = \frac{\rho}{2} \|u\|^2$$

Since in our work  $f = 0$ , we rewrite the equality (1.1) in the following form:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{3D} + v \|\nabla u\|^2 + \int_{\Gamma_{in}} ((p + \frac{\rho}{2} |u|^2) I - v \nabla u) n \cdot u ds + \int_{\Gamma_{out}} (\frac{\rho}{2} |u|^2 n - \phi) u ds = 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Integrating it in time, we get the following energy identity:

$$\mathcal{E}_{3D}(T) + v \int_0^T \|\nabla u\|^2 dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_{in}} ((p + \frac{\rho}{2} |u|^2) I - v \nabla u) n \cdot u ds dt + \int_0^T \int_{\Gamma_{out}} (\frac{\rho}{2} |u|^2 n - \phi) \cdot u ds dt = \mathcal{E}_{3D}(0) \quad (1.3)$$

Under homogeneous conditions at all boundaries, equality (1.4) is equivalent

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{3D} + v \|\nabla u\|^2 + \int_{\Gamma_{out}} (\frac{\rho}{2} |u|^2 u \cdot n) ds = 0$$

to the assumption

$$\int_{\Gamma_{out}} |u|^2 u \cdot n ds > 0 \quad \forall t > 0 \quad (1.4)$$

that  $\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{3D} \leq 0$  takes place, and therefore, energy dissipation occurs in the three-dimensional model of the fluid flow (1.1). Condition (1.4) is often used as an assumption for analyzing blood flow models that include one-dimensional and three-dimensional models simultaneously (for example, [1, 2]), but in practice it is very difficult to verify it. The condition (1.4), in particular, is not true if reverse flows occur, as, for example, in the inferior vena cava [3, 4].

Assume that the approximation to the solution of the system of equations (1.1)  $u_k p_k$  at time  $t_k = k\Delta t$ ,  $k = 1, \dots, n$  designed and required to find the unknown  $u_{n+1}, p_{n+1}$ , with  $t_{n+1}$ . Approximating the time derivative with the second order accuracy in time  $t_{n+1}$ , we get the following scheme:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\Delta t} (3u^{n+1} - 4u^n + u^{n-1}) + w \cdot \nabla u^{n+1} - v \nabla u^{n+1} + \nabla p^{n+1} = f^{n+1} \\ \text{div } u^{n+1} = 0 \\ (u^{n+1} |_{\Gamma_{in}} = u_{in}^{n+1}, u^{n+1} |_{\Gamma_{in}} = 0, (-v \frac{\partial u^{n+1}}{\partial n} + p^{n+1} n) |_{\Gamma_{out}} = \phi^{n+1}) \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

The choice of the expression for  $w$  allows either to linearize the convective term of the Navier-Stokes equations, or to preserve its nonlinearity. In the first case, the value of  $w$  is extrapolated from the solutions from the two previous time steps with the second order of accuracy:

$$w = (2u^n - u^{n-1}), \quad (1.6)$$

thus, a linear system of differential equations is obtained, known as the Ozein problem. In the second case, the value of  $w$  is equal to the value of the speed at the  $(n + 1)$  the time step:

$$w = u^{n+1}, \quad (1.7)$$

The problem (1.5) turns out to be nonlinear and we use the Newton-Krylov method to solve it.

---

**RESULTS:**

In all these cases, Newton's method converged in 2-4 iterations with a given absolute accuracy of  $10^{-6}$ , in 3-4 iterations with an accuracy of  $10^{-8}$  to  $10^{-12}$ . The most significant effect on the number of iterations required to achieve a given accuracy is exerted by the value of the velocity in the adjacent vessels. More iterations are required when the pulse wave maximum passes through the node. This is due to a decrease in accuracy when choosing an initial approximation, since the solution on the upper time layer changes more intensively. Thus, the use of this approach is limited by the value of the maximum allowable flow through the node. All the computational experiments carried out have shown that this maximum lies far beyond the boundaries of physiologically correct values. Therefore, Newton's method is computationally efficient and convenient for this task.

**CONCLUSION:**

The described approach to constructing a numerical implementation makes it possible to divide the problem into independent blocks for calculating the flow in each vessel and at each point of their docking.

Although the described quasi-one-dimensional model of global circulation provides only averaged characteristics of blood flow, it is quite convenient to use, since it does not require large computational costs and, generally speaking, allows real-time calculations on computers with sufficient performance. The simplicity of the model makes it possible to complicate it and thereby take into account the influence of many factors.

**REFERENCES:**

1) Formaggia L., Gerbeau J. F., Nobile F., Quarteroni A. On the coupling of 3D and 1D Navier-Stokes equations for flow problems in compliant vessels // *Computer Methods*

in *Applied Mechanics and Engineering*. 2001. V. 191. P. 561–582.

- 2) Formaggia L., Moura A., Nobile F. On the stability of the coupling of 3D and 1D fluid-structure interaction models for blood flow simulations // *ESAIM: Mathematical Modelling and Numerical Analysis*. 2007. V. 41 (4). P. 743–769.
- 3) Heywood J. G., Rannacher R., Turek S. Artificial boundaries and flux and pressure conditions for the incompressible Navier-Stokes equations // *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Fluids*. 1996. V. 22. P. 325–352.
- 4) Pua W.T., Ishiwata T., Juraszeka A.L., Mac Q., Izumo S. GATA4 is adenosine-sensitive regulator of cardiac morphogenesis // *Developmental Biology*. 2004. V. 275. P. 235–244.
- 5) Zhang D., Kanzaki T. Doppler waveforms: the relation between ductus venosus and inferior vena cava // *Ultrasound in Med. & Biol.* 2005. V. 31(9). P. 1173–1176.