

# **SOME REFINEMENTS OF THE LIMIT THEOREMS FOR GALTON - WATSON BRANCHING RANDOM PROCESSES**

Juraev Sh. Yu.  
 Kokand State Pedagogical Institute

Makhmudova N. A.  
 Master Kokand State Pedagogical Institute

## **ANNOTATION**

The necessary and sufficient conditions for the validity of the asymptotic Kolmogorov formula for the probability of continuation of a Galton – Watson branching random process are presented. It is proved that these conditions can be expressed in moment characteristics of the form  $E | X | \ln(1+| X |)$ .

**Keywords:** interpretation, A consistent application of Lemma, expectation, independent in the set

## **1. Introduction**

A branching random process with discrete time and one type of particles is considered [1] (Ch. 2, pp. 11-52), [2] (Ch. I, pp. 11-49), [3] (Ch. 2, pp. 11-22).

Let us say that a sequence of random variables (r.v.)  $Z_0, Z_1, \dots, Z_n, \dots$  with nonnegative and integer values forms a Galton-Watson branching random process (G-W) if these r.v. are determined by the following recurrence relations:

$$Z_0 = 1, Z_n = \sum_{k=1}^{Z_{n-1}} X_k, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (1.1)$$

Here  $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$  is a sequence of independent r.v. with non-negative and integer values with a common distribution

$$P(X_1 = n) = p_n, \quad n \geq 0, \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n = 1.$$

We will assume that  $P(Z_1 = X_1) = 1$  and the generating function of r.v.  $Z_1$  are:

$$F(x) = E x^{Z_1} = E x^{X_1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n x^n, \quad |x| \leq 1.$$

The sequences of r.v.  $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$  and  $\{Z_n, n \geq 0\}$  are defined in the same probability space  $(\Omega, \mathfrak{F}, P)$ .

The following interpretation of the G-W process results from the above: at the beginning of the process there is one particle ( $Z_0 = 1$ ),  $Z_1$  – means the number of particles of the first generation (the number of direct descendants of one particle). Therefore,  $\{p_n, n \geq 0\}$  is the distribution of r.v., and the number of particles of the  $n$ -th generation  $Z_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) is formed by recurrent formulas (1.1). Thus, the generating functions (g.f.) are:

$$F_0(x) = E x^{Z_0} = x, \quad F_1(x) = E x^{Z_1} = F(x), \quad |x| \leq 1.$$

To find g.f. of the number of particles of the  $n$ -th generation

$$F_n(x) = Ex^{Z_n}, \quad n = 2, 3, \dots$$

we will use the following simple assertion.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let  $v = v(\omega)$  be r.v. with values from the extended set of natural numbers  $N_0 = \{0, 1, \dots, n, \dots\}$  and  $S_v$  be a random sum (i.e., the sum of a random number of r.v.)

$$S_v = X_1 + \dots + X_v.$$

If the sequence of r.v.

$$v, X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$$

is independent in the set, in particular,  $v$  does not depend on any r.v.  $X_1, \dots, X_n, \dots$  then g.f. is

$$Ex^{S_v} = G(F(x)), \quad |x| \leq 1 \quad (1.2)$$

$$\text{Where g.f. is } G(x) = Ex^v = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(v = n)x^n.$$

**Proof.** In what follows, the “narrowed” mathematical expectation r.v.  $X = X(\omega)$  is used; it is defined by the following formula

$$E(X; B) = \int_B X dP = \int_B X(\omega) P(d\omega), \quad B \in \mathfrak{I}.$$

Since the system of events  $\{v = n\}, n = 0, 1, \dots$  forms a complete group, by the formula for the total mathematical expectation we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ex^{S_v} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(x^{S_v}; v = n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E(x^{S_n}; v = n) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Ex^{S_n} \cdot P(v = n) = \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P(v = n) F^n(x) = G(F(x)), \quad |x| \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the above Lemma 1.1 is proved.

A consistent application of Lemma 1.1 allows us to verify the validity of the following recurrence formulas:

$$Ex^{Z_{n+1}} = F_{n+1}(x) = F_n(F(x)) = F(F_n(x)), \quad n \geq 0 \quad (1.3)$$

Indeed, from (1.1) by Lemma 1.1 it follows that

$$F_0(x) = x, \quad F_1(x) = F(x), \quad F_2(x) = F_1(F(x)) = F(F(x))$$

and so on. A rigorous proof of the recurrence relation (1.3) consists in the application of the method of mathematical induction.

If a discrete r.v. takes values from the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, n, \dots\}$ , then differentiating its g.f.  $F(x) = Ex^x$  at the point  $x = 1$  for  $k$  times, we obtain formulas for the factorial moments of the  $k$ -th order

$$m_k = F^{(k)}(1) = EX(X - 1)\dots(X - k + 1) = \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} n(n-1)\dots(n-k+1)p_n$$

Factorial moments play a very important role:

$$m_1 = m = F'(1) = EX,$$

$$b = m_2 = F''(1) = EX(X - 1) = EX^2 - EX,$$

$$m_3 = F'''(1) = EX^3 - 3EX^2 + 2EX.$$

In what follows, we assume that the G-W process  $\{Z_n, n \geq 0\}$  degenerates at the  $n$  point of time if an event  $\{Z_n = 0\}$  occurs. Then it is obvious that  $z_{n+k} = 0$  for any  $k = 1, 2, \dots$ .

Hence  $P(Z_n = 0) = F_n(0)$ . The probability is

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(Z_n = 0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F(F_{n-1}(0)) = F(\lambda)$$

From the last equalities it follows that the probability of degeneration  $\lambda$  of the G-W process is a solution to the equation  $x = F(x)$ . Since  $x = 1$  is a trivial solution to the last equation, the probability of the process degeneration is determined by equation  $\lambda = \min(1, x_0)$ , where  $x_0$  satisfies  $x_0 = F(x_0)$ . From the last considerations it follows that the probability of degeneration  $\lambda$  is the least positive solution to the equation  $F(x) = x$ .

The first factorial moment  $m = EZ_1$  plays an important role in the asymptotic analysis of the G-W process, being a classifying parameter for branching processes: the probability of degeneration is  $\lambda = 1$  at  $m \leq 1$ , and  $\lambda < 1$  at  $m > 1$ . Accordingly, in the case of  $m < 1$ , the G-W process is called subcritical, at  $m = 1$  it is critical, and at  $m > 1$  it is supercritical.

As noted above, the G-W branching random process

$$\{Z_n, n \geq 1, Z_0 = 1\}$$

with one type of particles and discrete time is determined by setting the distribution of one r.v.  $Z_1$ . Indeed,

$$P(Z_0 = 1) = 1, P(Z_1 = k) = P(X_1 = k) = p_k, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

at  $l \neq 0$

$$P(Z_{n+1} = k / Z_n = l) = P(X_1 + X_2 + \dots + X_l = k) \quad (1.4)$$

$X_i$  are independent and have a distribution  $\{p_k, k \geq 0\}$  with a generating function  $F(x)$ . Besides,  $P(Z_n = 0 / Z_{n-1} = 0) = 1$ .

Consequently, by virtue of (1.4), the G-W branching process  $\{Z_n, n \geq 0\}$  forms a homogeneous Markov chain with a set of states  $\{0, 1, \dots, n, \dots\}$ .

Then, it is easy to see that at  $m < 1, x_0 > 1$ , at  $m = 1, x_0 = 1$ , at  $m > 1, x_0 < 1$ . Consequently, the subcritical and critical G-W processes ( $m \leq 1$ ) are degenerating processes with probability one, and the supercritical process ( $m > 1$ ) degenerates with probability  $\lambda = x_0 < 1$ . From above, we can conclude that the following assertion holds.

If  $m = EZ_1 < \infty$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(Z_n = k) = 0, \forall k \geq 1,$$

$$P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n = 0\right) = 1 - P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n = \infty\right) = \lambda$$

The validity of the first relation in the above assertion follows from the fact that a random process  $\{Z_n, n \geq 0\}$  as a Markov chain does not have recurrent states in the set  $\{1, \dots, n, \dots\}$ . The proof of the second assertion is in the following statements.

Since r.v.  $Z_n$  take integer values, then degeneration is an event in which  $Z_n = 0$  for some  $n \geq 1$ .

Then,  $P(Z_{n+1} = 0 / Z_n = 0) = 1$  and by virtue of the continuity property of the probability measure, we have the following chain of equalities – *for some*

$$\begin{aligned} P(Z_n \rightarrow 0) &= 1 - P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Z_n = \infty\right) = P(Z_n = 0 \text{ для некоторого } n \geq 1) = P\left(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{Z_n = 0\}\right) = \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\bigcup_{k=1}^n \{Z_k = 0\}\right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(Z_n = 0) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_n(0) = \lambda \end{aligned}$$

Hence the probability of degeneration of the G-W branching process is the least positive root of the equation  $x = F(x)$ .

## 2. Asymptotics of the probability of the process continuation for subcritical Galton-Watson processes.

Let  $Q_n = 1 - P(Z_n = 0) = P(Z_n > 0) = P(Z_n \geq 1)$  be the probability of G-W process continuation.

It is well known that if  $m \leq 1$ , then  $Q_n \rightarrow 0$ , at  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , if  $m > 1$ , then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n = 1 - \lambda > 0$ . An essential and interesting problem is to find an asymptotic behavior of the probability  $Q_n$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . In 1938, A. N. Kolmogorov [4] proved that if  $m < 1$  and  $b = F''(1) < \infty$ , then

$$Q_n = Km^n(1 + o(1)), n \rightarrow \infty \quad (2.1)$$

where  $K$  is a positive constant determined by the form of the g.f.  $F(\cdot)$

Here we give a necessary and sufficient condition for the realization of asymptotic relation (2.1).

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $m < 1$ , then for the asymptotic relation (2.1) to hold, it is necessary and sufficient that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1 - mx - F(1-x)}{x^2} dx < \infty \quad (2.2)$$

As follows from Theorem 2.1, the convergence (existence) of integral (2.2) ensures the validity of the asymptotic representation (2.1) for the probability of continuation of subcritical G-W branching processes. In turn, the convergence of integral (2.2) is equivalent to the convergence of the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \ln n P(X = n) \quad (3.1)$$

and this series is often seen in limit theorems for noncritical G-W branching processes ([7], 5.1-5.4, pp. 29-42).

In this section, we present the following theorem on the existence of integral (2.2).

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $F(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n x^n$  be the probabilistic generating function and let

$$0 < m = F'(1) < \infty.$$

Then the integral

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1 - mx - F(1-x)}{x^2} dx$$

exists if and only if

$$\int_0^1 u^{-2} \left( F\left(e^{-\frac{u}{m}}\right) - e^{-u} \right) du < \infty \quad (3.2)$$

*Proof.* Let  $X$  be a nonnegative integer random variable with g.f.  $F(x)$ . The latter means that

$$F\left(e^{-\frac{u}{m}}\right) = E\left(e^{-\frac{u}{m}X}\right)$$

Therefore, we have the representation

$$F\left(e^{-\frac{u}{m}}\right) - e^{-u} = E\left(e^{-\frac{u}{m}X}\right) - e^{-u} = E\left(e^{-\frac{u}{m}X} - 1 + \frac{uX}{m}\right) + 1 - u - e^{-u}$$

It is obvious that

$$\int_0^1 \frac{e^{-u} - 1 + u}{u^2} du = O(1) \quad (3.3)$$

The latter implies that the convergence of integral (3.2) is equivalent to

$$\int_0^1 u^{-2} E\left(e^{-\frac{uX}{m}}\right) - 1 + \frac{uX}{m} du < \infty \quad (3.4)$$

Let  $G(u) = P(X < mu)$ . Then the left-hand side of (3.4) is equal to

$$\int_0^\infty \left( \int_0^1 \left( \frac{e^{ux} - 1 + ux}{u^2} \right) du \right) dG(x) \quad (3.5)$$

But

$$\int_0^1 u^{-2} (e^{-ux} - 1 + ux) du = x \int_0^x u^{-2} (e^{-u} - 1 + u) du \quad (3.6)$$

After integrating by parts the right-hand side of the last equation (3.6) twice, it is easy to see that for large values of  $x$

$$x \int_0^x u^{-2} (e^{-u} - 1 + u) du = x \ln x + O(1) \quad (3.7)$$

Therefore, from (3.6) and (3.7) we obtain

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_0^1 \frac{e^{-ux} - 1 + ux}{u^2} du \right) (x \ln x)^{-1} = 1 \quad (3.8)$$

Now from the last relation (3.8) it follows that the integral (3.5) and the integral  $\int_0^\infty x \ln x dG(x)$  converge simultaneously.

Since r.v.  $X$  is discrete, the following equation holds:

$$\int_0^\infty x \ln x dG(x) = m \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \ln n p_n$$

It follows from the last equation that the convergence of integral (3.2) is equivalent to the convergence of the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (n \ln n) p_n$ .

The proof of Theorem 2.2 follows from Theorem 2.1.

It follows from equation that

$$-m\delta(x) = m\bar{\delta}(x) = m - \frac{1-F(1-x)}{x}, \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1$$

and conditions (2.2) can be written in the form

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\bar{\delta}(x)}{x} dx < \infty \quad (3.9)$$

It should be noted that the function  $\bar{\delta}(x)$  at zero ( $x=0$ ) is determined by continuity, i.e.,  $\bar{\delta}(0)=0$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let the conditions of Theorem 2.2 be satisfied. Then

- a) the function  $\bar{\delta}(x)$  is non-negative and non-decreasing.
- b) in order for all  $c > 0$  and  $0 < \rho < 1$  the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\delta}(c\rho^n)$  be convergent, it is necessary and sufficient to satisfy condition (3.9).

*Proof.* Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} \bar{\delta}(x) = 0$  and  $F(x)$  is a convex function, we have  $\bar{\delta}(x) \geq 0$  and  $\dot{\delta}(x) \geq 0$ .

Consequently, the part of the theorem holds. Part b) of Theorem 2.3 is proved in the following reasoning.

Note, that

$$\bar{\delta}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} P_j \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} (1-(1-x)^k) \right]$$

From this representation it follows that the function  $\bar{\delta}(x)$  is a monotonically decreasing function and therefore the equality relation holds:

$$\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \bar{\delta}(c\rho^n) < \infty \right\} \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \int_0^{\infty} \bar{\delta}(c\rho^u) du < \infty \right\} \quad (3.10)$$

The right-hand side of this relation holds if and only if

$$\int_0^1 v^{-1} \bar{\delta}(v) dv < \infty \quad (3.11)$$

The latter can be verified by changing the variable  $v = \rho^u$ . Further

$$\begin{aligned} v^{-1} \delta(v) &= [F(1-v)-1+mv]v^{-2} = \\ &= \left[ F\left(e^{-\frac{u}{m}}\right) - e^{-u} + m\left(1 - e^{-\frac{u}{m}} - \frac{u}{m}\right) + (e^{-u} - 1 + u)\right] u^2 (uv^{-1})^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

where  $1-v = e^{-\frac{u}{m}}$ .

With simple reasoning, one can verify that the following relations hold for any  $0 < a \leq b < \infty$

$$1 \leq \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} \frac{x}{1-e^x} \leq A < \infty \quad (3.13)$$

$$\sup_{x \geq 0} |e^{-x} - 1 + x| \leq \frac{1}{2} \quad (3.14)$$

From (3.12) - (3.14) it follows that for some  $a > 0$

$$\left\{ \int_0^1 v^{-1} \delta(v) < \infty \right\} \Leftrightarrow \left\{ \int_0^a \left( F\left(e^{-\frac{u}{m}}\right) - e^{-u} \right) u^{-2} du < \infty \right\} \quad (3.15)$$

The final proof of part b) of Theorem 2.3 follows from Theorem 2.2 in view of relations (3.9) - (3.11)

## References

1. Б.А.Севастьянов Ветвящиеся процессы. Москва. Изд-во “Наука”. 1971. 436 стр.
2. K.B.Athreya, P.E.Ney Branching Processes. Springer – Verlag. New York. Berlin. 1972, 287 p.
3. P. Haccou, P.Yagers and V.A.Vatutin Branching processes. Cambridge University Press. 2007. 305 p.
4. А.Н.Колмогоров К решению одной биологической задачи. Изв. НИИ матем. и мех. Томского Университета. 2.2. вып. 1 (1938) 1-12.
5. А.М.Яглом Некоторые предельные теоремы теории ветвящихся случайных процессов, ДАН СССР, 56.8(1947), 795-798.
6. А.В.Нагаев Уточнение некоторых предельных теорем теории вероятностей. Тр. ТошГУ, вып 189, 55-63.
7. В.А.Ватутин Ветвящиеся процессы. Москва. МИАН. 2008. 109 стр.  
8. Mansurov, M., & Akbarov, U. (2021). FLATTER OF VISCOELASTIC FREE OPEROUS ROD AT THE END. *Scientific Bulletin of Namangan State University*, 3(3), 36-42.
9. Жумакулов, Х. К., & Салимов, М. (2016). О МЕТОДАХ ПРОВЕДЕНИЯ И СТРУКТУРЕ ПЕДАГОГИЧЕСКОГО ЭКСПЕРИМЕНТА. *Главный редактор*, 80.
10. Эсонов, М. М. (2013). Методические приёмы творческого подхода в обучении теории изображений. *Вестник КРАУНЦ. Физико-математические науки*, 7(2), 78-83.
11. Эсонов, М. М., & Зуннунова, Д. Т. (2020). Развитие математического мышления на уроках геометрии посредством задач на исследование параметров изображения. *Вестник КРАУНЦ. Физико-математические науки*, 32(3), 197-209.
12. Жаров, В. К., & Эсонов, М. М. (2019). ОБУЧЕНИЕ СТУДЕНТОВ МАТЕМАТИКОВ НАУЧНЫМ МЕТОДАМ ИССЛЕДОВАНИЯ НА ОСНОВЕ РЕШЕНИЯ КОМПЛЕКСА ГЕОМЕТРИЧЕСКИХ ЗАДАЧ. *Continuum. Математика. Информатика. Образование*, (4), 10-16.

13. Эсонов, М. М., & Эсонов, А. М. (2016). Реализация методики творческого подхода на занятиях спецкурса по теории изображений. *Вестник КРАУНЦ. Физико-математические науки*, (1 (12)), 107-111.
14. Эсонов, М. М. (2017). Построение прямой, перпендикулярной данной прямой. *Вестник КРАУНЦ. Физико-математические науки*, (2 (18)), 111-116.
15. Эсонов, М. М. (2016). ПРАКТИЧЕСКИЕ ОСНОВЫ ОБУЧЕНИЯ МЕТОДАМ ИЗОБРАЖЕНИЙ К РЕШЕНИЮ ЗАДАЧ В КУРСЕ ГЕОМЕТРИИ. In *Теория и практика современных гуманитарных и естественных наук* (pp. 155-159).
16. Эсонов, М. М. (2014). Проектирование изучения" Методов изображений" в контексте творческого подхода к решению задач. In *Теория и практика современных гуманитарных и естественных наук* (pp. 259-265).
17. Ergasheva, H. M., Mahmudova, O. Y., & Ahmedova, G. A. (2020). GEOMETRIC SOLUTION OF ALGEBRAIC PROBLEMS. *Scientific Bulletin of Namangan State University*, 2(4), 3-8.
18. Marasulova, Z. A., & Rasulova, G. A. (2014). Information resources as a factor of integration of models and methodologies. *Vestnik KRAUNC. Fiziko-Matematicheskie Nauki*, (1), 75-80.
19. Mamsliyevich, T. A. (2022). ON A NONLOCAL PROBLEM FOR THE EQUATION OF THE THIRD ORDER WITH MULTIPLE CHARACTERISTICS. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE & INTERDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH ISSN: 2277-3630 Impact factor: 7.429*, 11(06), 66-73.
20. Mamsliyevich, T. A. (2022). ABOUT ONE PROBLEM FOR THE EQUATION OF THE THIRD ORDER WITH A NON-LOCAL CONDITION. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF SOCIAL SCIENCE & INTERDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH ISSN: 2277-3630 Impact factor: 7.429*, 11(06), 74-79.
21. Muydinjanov, D. R. (2019). Holmgren problem for Helmholtz equation with the three singular coefficients. *e-Journal of Analysis and Applied Mathematics*, 2019(1), 15-30.
22. Мамадалиев, Б. М. (1994). Асимптотический анализ функций от спейсингов.
23. Эргашев, А. А., & Толибжонова, Ш. А. (2020). Основные компоненты профессионального образования учителя математики. *Вестник КРАУНЦ. Физико-математические науки*, 32(3), 180-196.
24. Зуннунов, Р. Т., & Эргашев, А. А. (2021). Задача типа задачи Бицадзе-Самарского для уравнения смешанного типа второго рода в области эллиптическая часть которой-четверть плоскости. In *Фундаментальные и прикладные проблемы математики и информатики* (pp. 117-20).
25. Зуннунов, Р. Т., & Эргашев, А. А. (2016). Задача со смещением для уравнения смешанного типа второго рода в неограниченной области. *Вестник КРАУНЦ. Физико-математические науки*, (1 (12)), 26-31.
26. Зуннунов, Р. Т., & Эргашев, А. А. (2017). КРАЕВАЯ ЗАДАЧА СО СМЕЩЕНИЕМ ДЛЯ УРАВНЕНИЯ СМЕШАННОГО ТИПА В НЕОГРАНИЧЕННОЙ ОБЛАСТИ. In *Актуальные проблемы прикладной математики и физики* (pp. 92-93).
27. Зуннунов, Р. Т., & Эргашев, А. А. (2016). Задача со смещением для уравнения смешанного типа второго рода в неограниченной области. *Вестник КРАУНЦ. Физико-математические науки*, (1 (12)), 26-31.
28. Zunnunov, R. T., & Ergashev, A. A. (2016). PROBLEM WITH A SHIFT FOR A MIXED-TYPE EQUATION OF THE SECOND KIND IN AN UNBOUNDED DOMAIN. *Bulletin KRASEC. Physical and Mathematical Sciences*, 12(1), 21-26.

29. Эргашев, А. А., & Талибжанова, Ш. А. (2015). Методика решения задачи Бицадзе-Самарского для уравнения эллиптического типа в полуполосе. In *Теория и практика современных гуманитарных и естественных наук* (pp. 160-162).
- Алявия, О., Яковенко, В., Эргашева, Д., Усманова, Ш., & Зуннунов, Х. (2014). Оценка интенсивности и структуры кариеса зубов у студентов с нормальной и пониженной функцией слюнных желёз. *Stomatologiya*, 1(3-4 (57-58)), 34-38.
30. Марасулова, З. А., & Расурова, Г. А. (2014). Информационный ресурс как фактор интеграции моделей и методик. *Вестник КРАУНЦ. Физико-математические науки*, 1 (8)), 75-80.
31. Расурова, Г. А., Ахмедова, З. С., & Норматов, М. (2016). МЕТОДИКА ИЗУЧЕНИЯ МАТЕМАТИЧЕСКИХ ТЕРМИНОВ НА АНГЛИЙСКОМ ЯЗЫКЕ В ПРОЦЕССЕ ОБУЧЕНИЯ. *Ученый XXI века*, 65.
32. Расурова, Г. А., Ахмедова, З. С., & Норматов, М. (2016). EDUCATION ISSUES LEARN ENGLISH LANGUAGE IN TERMS OF PROCESSES. *Учёный XXI века*, (6-2 (19)), 62-65.
33. Rasulova, G. (2022). CASE STADE AND TECHNOLOGY OF USING NONSTANDARD TESTS IN TEACHING GEOMETRY MODULE. *Eurasian journal of Mathematical theory and computer sciences*, 2(5), 40-43.
34. Ergasheva, H. M., Mahmudova, O. Y., & Ahmedova, G. A. (2020). GEOMETRIC SOLUTION OF ALGEBRAIC PROBLEMS. *Scientific Bulletin of Namangan State University*, 2(4), 3-8.
35. Muydjinjonov, Z., & Muydjinjonov, D. (2022). INFORMATION, COMMUNICATION AND TECHNOLOGY (ICT) IS FOR TEACHER AND STUDENT.
36. Muydjinjonov, Z., & Muydjinjonov, D. (2022). VIRTUAL LABORATORIES. *Eurasian Journal of Academic Research*, 2(6), 1031-1034.
37. Muydinjanov, D. R. (2019). Holmgren problem for Helmholtz equation with the three singular coefficients. *e-Journal of Analysis and Applied Mathematics*, 2019(1), 15-30.
38. Rahmatullaev, M. M., Rafikov, F. K., & Azamov, S. (2021). On the Constructive Description of Gibbs Measures for the Potts Model on a Cayley Tree. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, 73(7), 1092-1106.
39. Rahmatullaev, M., Rafikov, F. K., & Azamov, S. K. (2021). Про конструктивні описи мір Гіббса для моделі Поттса на дереві Келі. *Ukrains'kyi Matematychnyi Zhurnal*, 73(7), 938-950.
40. Petrosyan, V. A., & Rafikov, F. M. (1980). Polarographic study of aliphatic nitro compounds. *Bulletin of the Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Division of chemical science*, 29(9), 1429-1431.
41. Formanov, S. K., & Jurayev, S. (2021). On Transient Phenomena in Branching Random Processes with Discrete Time. *Lobachevskii Journal of Mathematics*, 42(12), 2777-2784.