

SUSTAINABLE CROP PRESERVATION: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW OF INTEGRATED SOLAR AND BIOMASS HYBRID DRYERS

Mr. Rushikesh Deshmukh 1

Mr. Prashant Shinde 2

Sangharsh Mane 3

Aditya Khandagale 4

Dr. Amol Yadav 5

JPSM's Jaywantrao Sawant College of Engineering,
Hadapsar, Pune -028, Department of Mechanical Engineering

1deshmukhrushikesh1107@gmail.com

2prashantshinde2002@gmail.com

3 sangharshmane2805@gmail.com

4 adityakhandagale76@gmail.com

5 amolyadav@jspmjscoe.edu.in

Abstract

Post-harvest spoilage remains a critical challenge for global food security, particularly in tropical regions where traditional open sun drying is hindered by weather dependency, slow drying rates, and contamination risks. This paper presents a comprehensive review of integrated solar and biomass hybrid dryers as a sustainable solution for continuous, year-round crop preservation. By synthesizing recent advancements in dryer configurations—including greenhouse, cabinet, and tunnel designs—this review evaluates how the integration of biomass burners and thermal energy storage units addresses the intermittent nature of solar energy.

Analysis of current literature reveals that hybrid systems can consistently maintain drying chamber temperatures between 65°C and 80°C, significantly reducing drying times for high-moisture crops like mangoes, coconuts, and peppers to a 2–3 day window. Key technical innovations are examined, specifically the implementation of tray baffles to enhance airflow uniformity and the application of Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) for thermal optimization. Furthermore, the review discusses the efficacy of various mathematical models, noting that the Henderson and Pabis thin-layer model provides superior accuracy in predicting drying kinetics for most tropical products. The findings demonstrate that while hybrid solar-biomass technologies improve thermal efficiency (reaching levels of 29-30%) and preserve vital nutritional content like Vitamin C, further research is needed to optimize biomass heat exchanger designs and automate control systems. This study serves as a technical roadmap for researchers and stakeholders aiming to implement decentralized, renewable drying solutions in the agricultural sector.

Keywords: Solar-biomass hybrid dryer, Sustainable crop preservation, Thermal efficiency, Mathematical modeling, Biomass heater, Post-harvest loss.

1.Introduction:

Agriculture is the primary economic driver in many developing and tropical nations. However, a significant portion of agricultural produce, particularly fruits and vegetables, is lost during the post-

harvest stage due to inadequate preservation methods [5][8]. Dehydration is one of the most effective and oldest techniques used to extend the shelf life of these products by reducing moisture content to a level that inhibits the growth of microorganisms and enzymatic reactions [7].

Traditionally, farmers have relied on **open sun drying (OSD)** due to its zero energy cost. Despite its simplicity, OSD is fraught with challenges: it is highly weather-dependent, requires large areas of land, and exposes the produce to dust, insects, birds, and fungal contamination [1][7]. Furthermore, the slow drying rates in OSD often lead to inferior product quality, characterized by loss of color, vitamins, and flavor [4][8]. In response to these limitations, solar dryers were developed to provide a controlled environment that utilizes solar radiation more efficiently while protecting the crop [5][6].

While solar dryers are a significant improvement, their major drawback is their intermittency. Drying ceases during cloudy days and nighttime, which can lead to product spoilage if the moisture content remains high [2]. To ensure a continuous and reliable drying process, the integration of supplementary heat sources has become a primary focus of recent research [1][6]. Among various options, **biomass energy** stands out as a sustainable and carbon-neutral alternative, especially in rural areas where agricultural residues—such as coconut shells, rice husks, and wood waste—are abundantly available [3][7].

Hybrid solar-biomass dryers combine the benefits of solar thermal energy with the reliability of biomass burners. This integration allows for 24-hour operation, maintaining the drying chamber at optimal temperatures, typically between 65°C and 80°C, which significantly accelerates the moisture removal rate [6]. Recent technical advancements have further improved these systems. For instance, the implementation of **tray baffles** has been shown to enhance airflow uniformity and thermal efficiency, reaching levels of approximately 29-30% [4]. Additionally, the use of **Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD)** and **mathematical modeling** has allowed researchers to predict drying kinetics and optimize dryer geometry before fabrication [2][5].

This review paper aims to provide a critical analysis of the current state of integrated solar and biomass hybrid drying technologies. It explores various configurations, evaluates thermal performance across different tropical crops like mango and coconut, and examines the transport models used to describe the drying process [3][4][5]. By synthesizing data from recent experimental and numerical studies, this paper identifies the key technical challenges and provides a roadmap for the future development of sustainable crop preservation technologies.

2. Classification of Drying Technologies

This category defines how the solar radiation and thermal energy reach the product.

- **Direct Solar Drying:** The crop is placed in an enclosure with a transparent cover. Solar radiation falls directly on the product, and the "greenhouse effect" traps heat inside. This is effective but can sometimes lead to the degradation of color and light-sensitive vitamins [1][5].
- **Indirect Solar Drying:** The solar radiation is collected by a separate solar air heater. The heated air is then channeled into a darkened drying chamber where the crop is kept. This prevents direct exposure to UV rays, preserving the nutritional quality and color of sensitive products like mango slices [4][8].
- **Mixed-Mode Drying:** A combination of both. The crop receives direct radiation through a transparent top while also being heated by hot air from a separate collector. This is often the most efficient for high-moisture products [5].

• A. Classification by Air Circulation (Airflow)

This describes how moisture-laden air is removed from the drying chamber.

- **Passive (Natural Convection):** Relies on the "chimney effect" or buoyancy. As air heats up, it becomes less dense and rises, exiting through vents at the top while pulling in fresh air from the bottom. These are cost-effective and ideal for rural areas without electricity [7].
- **Active (Forced Convection):** Uses external fans or blowers—often powered by Solar Photovoltaic (PV) panels—to force air through the system. This allows for higher drying rates and more precise control over the drying environment [1][2].

• B. Classification by Energy Source (Hybridization)

This is the core focus of your paper, where multiple energy sources are integrated to overcome the limitations of solar-only systems.

- **Solar-Biomass Hybrid:** These systems integrate a biomass burner or furnace. During cloudy periods or at night, agricultural waste (like coconut shells or wood) is burned. The heat is transferred to the drying air via a heat exchanger to maintain continuous operation [3][7].
- **Solar-Thermal Storage Hybrid:** Utilizes Phase Change Materials (PCMs) or sensible heat storage (like rocks or water) to store excess solar energy during the day and release it at night [1][6].
- **Solar-Electric Hybrid:** Uses electric heaters as a backup, though this is less "sustainable" in remote agricultural settings compared to biomass [8].

• C. Specialized Designs in Literature

Your review highlights specific structural designs that have shown high efficiency:

- **Greenhouse Solar Dryers (GSD):** Large-scale structures that act as solar collectors themselves. Modified greenhouse dryers often use North-wall reflectors to increase internal heat [1][6].
- **Baffled Cabinet Dryers:** These include internal "baffles" or trays arranged to create a zigzag airflow. This increases the contact time between the air and the product, improving thermal efficiency to around 29–30% [4].

3. Thermal and Mathematical Modeling:

To optimize the design and operational control of hybrid dryers, it is essential to understand the thermodynamic relationships within the system. Modeling allows for the estimation of drying time, temperature distribution, and energy efficiency [2].

3.1. Thermodynamic Modeling of the System

The thermal behavior of a hybrid dryer is typically modeled by applying energy balance equations to its various components: the solar collector, the drying chamber, and the biomass heat exchanger.

- **Solar Collector Efficiency:** The energy captured by the collector is defined by the solar radiation the area of the collector and the optical properties of the glazing. The useful heat gain (is often expressed as:
- **Hybrid Heat Integration:** In systems integrating a biomass burner, the total heat input becomes a summation of solar gain and the thermal energy transferred from the biomass flue gas through a heat exchanger [3][7].

3.2. Thin-Layer Drying Kinetics

Mathematical models are used to describe the moisture loss of agricultural products over time. According to the literature, the **Henderson and Pabis model** is frequently cited as the most accurate for describing the drying behavior of tropical fruits like mango slices and coconut kernels [4][5].

Commonly used semi-theoretical models include:

- **Newton (Lewis) Model:** The simplest model, assuming moisture loss is proportional to the difference between local and equilibrium moisture content.
- **Page Model:** A modification of the Newton model that adds an empirical exponent to better fit experimental data.
- **Henderson and Pabis Model:** Proven effective for products where the internal resistance to moisture transfer is dominant [4].

3.3. Transport Phenomena and Moisture Diffusivity

The movement of moisture from the interior of the crop to the surface is governed by **Fick's Second Law of Diffusion**. Researchers calculate the **Effective Moisture Diffusivity (D_{eff})** to understand how quickly a crop will dry under specific temperatures. Studies on hybrid dryers indicate that increasing the chamber temperature from 60°C to 80°C significantly enhances thereby reducing overall drying time to approximately 2–3 days [4][6].

3.4. Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) Simulations

CFD has emerged as a powerful tool for visualizing the "invisible" processes inside the dryer.

- **Airflow Uniformity:** CFD simulations are used to identify "dead zones" where air is stagnant. Paper [4] highlights how tray baffles were designed using simulation to create a zigzag airflow, ensuring every tray receives equal heat.
- **Temperature Mapping:** Simulations help in placing the biomass heat exchanger and air inlets to ensure that the temperature remains uniform across all trays, preventing over-drying of some layers while others remain damp [2][3].

4. Performance Evaluation Parameters:

Evaluating the performance of hybrid dryers is essential to determine their technical viability and economic benefits. Based on the literature provided, particularly the experimental evaluations in **Tesema et al. [4]**, **Singh & Gaur [6]**, and **Nnamchi et al. [5]**, the performance is typically assessed using the following parameters:

• A. Thermal Efficiency

Thermal efficiency (η_{th}) measures how effectively the dryer converts solar and biomass energy into heat used for moisture removal.

- **Collector Efficiency:** For the solar component, this is the ratio of useful heat gain by the air to the solar radiation incident on the collector surface [2].
- **System Efficiency:** In hybrid systems, this includes the heat contributed by the biomass burner. Studies on baffled cabinet dryers have reported thermal efficiencies in the range of **29% to 30%** [4].
- **Pick-up Efficiency:** This refers to the ability of the air to "pick up" moisture as it passes through the crop trays.

• B. Drying Rate and Moisture Content

The primary goal is to reduce the initial moisture content (M_i) to a safe storage moisture content (M_f).

• Drying Time: Hybrid dryers significantly outperform open sun drying (OSD). For instance, high-moisture tropical crops that take 5–7 days in OSD can be dried in **2–3 days** using hybrid greenhouse or cabinet dryers [6].

• Specific Moisture Extraction Rate (SMER): This is the ratio of the amount of water evaporated to the total energy input (solar + biomass + electrical for fans). It is a key metric for energy productivity.

• C. Moisture Diffusivity and Activation Energy

These parameters describe the internal physics of the drying process:

• Effective Moisture Diffusivity (D_{eff}): This represents the speed at which moisture moves from the core of the product to the surface. It is calculated using Fick’s second law. Higher temperatures in hybrid dryers (reaching 65–80°C) lead to higher values, accelerating the process [4][5].

• Activation Energy: This is the energy required to initiate the moisture diffusion process, typically determined using an Arrhenius-type equation.

• D. Qualitative Analysis (Product Quality)

A high-performance dryer must preserve the nutritional and sensory attributes of the crop.

• Nutritional Retention: Reviewing the provided documents shows that controlled hybrid drying is superior at preserving **Vitamin C** and antioxidant properties compared to open sun drying, which often causes degradation due to UV exposure [4].

• Color and Rehydration: Parameters like the "Color Index" and "Rehydration Ratio" are used to ensure the dried product (like mango slices or coconut) remains appealing to consumers and can return to a near-original state if soaked [4][8].

• E. Uniformity of Temperature and Airflow

Performance is also judged by how evenly the product dries across different trays.

• Temperature Gradient: A low temperature difference between the top and bottom trays indicates good design.

• Airflow Distribution: The use of **baffles** is specifically evaluated by checking if they minimize "dead zones" within the chamber, ensuring uniform moisture removal across all slices [4].

Fig4.1: Summary Table of Performance Results

Dryer Type	Crop	Temp Range	Efficiency
Baffled Cabinet	Mango	65-80°C	29-30%
Hybrid Greenhouse	General	40-75°C	15-25%
Biomass Powered	Coconut	55-65°C	Variable

5. Performance Evaluation Parameters

Evaluating the performance of hybrid dryers is essential to determine their technical viability and economic benefits. Based on the literature provided, particularly the experimental evaluations in

Tesema et al. [4], Singh & Gaur [6], and Nnamchi et al. [5], the performance is typically assessed using the following parameters:

- **A. Thermal Efficiency**

Thermal efficiency (η_{th}) measures how effectively the dryer converts solar and biomass energy into heat used for moisture removal.

- **Collector Efficiency:** For the solar component, this is the ratio of useful heat gain by the air to the solar radiation incident on the collector surface [2].
- **System Efficiency:** In hybrid systems, this includes the heat contributed by the biomass burner. Studies on baffled cabinet dryers have reported thermal efficiencies in the range of **29% to 30%** [4].
- **Pick-up Efficiency:** This refers to the ability of the air to "pick up" moisture as it passes through the crop trays.

- **B. Drying Rate and Moisture Content**

The primary goal is to reduce the initial moisture content (M_i) to a safe storage moisture content (M_f).

- **Drying Time:** Hybrid dryers significantly outperform open sun drying (OSD). For instance, high-moisture tropical crops that take 5–7 days in OSD can be dried in **2–3 days** using hybrid greenhouse or cabinet dryers [6].
- **Specific Moisture Extraction Rate (SMER):** This is the ratio of the amount of water evaporated to the total energy input (solar + biomass + electrical for fans). It is a key metric for energy productivity.

- **C. Moisture Diffusivity and Activation Energy**

These parameters describe the internal physics of the drying process:

- **Effective Moisture Diffusivity (D_{eff}):** This represents the speed at which moisture moves from the core of the product to the surface. It is calculated using Fick's second law. Higher temperatures in hybrid dryers (reaching 65–80°C) lead to higher D_{eff} values, accelerating the process [4][5].
- **Activation Energy:** This is the energy required to initiate the moisture diffusion process, typically determined using an Arrhenius-type equation.

- **D. Qualitative Analysis (Product Quality)**

A high-performance dryer must preserve the nutritional and sensory attributes of the crop.

- **Nutritional Retention:** Reviewing the provided documents shows that controlled hybrid drying is superior at preserving **Vitamin C** and antioxidant properties compared to open sun drying, which often causes degradation due to UV exposure [4].
- **Color and Rehydration:** Parameters like the "Color Index" and "Rehydration Ratio" are used to ensure the dried product (like mango slices or coconut) remains appealing to consumers and can return to a near-original state if soaked [4][8].

- **E. Uniformity of Temperature and Airflow**

Performance is also judged by how evenly the product dries across different trays.

- **Temperature Gradient:** A low temperature difference between the top and bottom trays indicates good design.
- **Airflow Distribution:** The use of **baffles** is specifically evaluated by checking if they minimize "dead zones" within the chamber, ensuring uniform moisture removal across all slices [4].

6. Conclusion and Future Directions:

6.1. Conclusion

This review has comprehensively examined the integration of solar and biomass energy systems as a sustainable pathway for crop preservation. The following key conclusions can be drawn:

- **Technical Viability:** Hybrid solar-biomass dryers successfully address the intermittency of solar energy, allowing for 24-hour operation. By maintaining steady drying temperatures between 65°C and 80°C, these systems reduce drying times for tropical crops (like mango and coconut) from the 5–7 days required in open sun drying to a reliable 2–3 day window [4][6].
- **Efficiency Gains:** The implementation of design modifications, such as internal tray baffles and North-wall reflectors in greenhouse dryers, has significantly improved thermal performance. Reported efficiencies of up to 30% demonstrate that these systems are technically superior to passive solar dryers [4].
- **Product Quality:** Controlled hybrid drying environments better preserve essential nutritional attributes, such as Vitamin C and antioxidant levels, while protecting crops from external contaminants, dust, and UV-induced color degradation [4][7].
- **Analytical Progress:** Mathematical modeling, specifically the Henderson and Pabis thin-layer model, has proven highly accurate in predicting drying kinetics. Furthermore, CFD has become an indispensable tool for optimizing airflow and eliminating "dead zones" within the drying chamber, ensuring product uniformity [2][5].

6.2. Future Directions

Despite the advancements recorded in recent years, several areas remain open for further research and development to enhance the commercial and practical adoption of these technologies:

- **Smart Automation and IoT:** Future research should focus on integrating low-cost sensors and IoT-based controllers to automate the switch between solar and biomass heating. This would optimize fuel consumption and maintain precise humidity levels without manual intervention [7].
- **Advanced Thermal Storage:** While sensible heat storage (like rocks or water) is common, there is a significant opportunity to explore **Phase Change Materials (PCMs)** and nano-enhanced materials to increase energy density and stabilize temperatures during the transition from day to night [1].
- **Optimization of Biomass Heat Exchangers:** Many current hybrid systems suffer from soot accumulation or inefficient heat transfer in the biomass unit. Developing high-efficiency, self-cleaning heat exchangers will be crucial for long-term operational reliability [3].
- **Multi-Crop Versatility:** Most studies focus on a single crop (e.g., mango or coconut). There is a need for research into "universal" hybrid dryers with adjustable parameters that can handle a variety of agricultural products with different moisture profiles [8].
- **Economic Scaling:** While small-scale prototypes are successful, research into the socio-economic feasibility and scaling of these systems for industrial-level copra or dried fruit production is needed to make the technology accessible to large-scale cooperatives in developing regions [3].

References

1. Demissie, Y. A., Abreham, R. E., Wassie, H. M., & Getie, M. Z. (2024). Advancements in solar greenhouse dryers for crop drying. *Energy Reports*, 11, 5046–5058. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2024.04.032>
2. Tarigan, E. (2018). Mathematical modeling and simulation of a solar agricultural dryer with back-up biomass burner and thermal storage. *Case Studies in Thermal Engineering*, 12, 149–165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csite.2018.04.004>
3. Prabhu, C. N., Dhanushkodi, S., & Sudhakar, K. (2025). Sustainable technology for coconut processing: Biomass-powered dryer and performance evaluation. *Results in Engineering*, 25, 104361. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rineng.2024.104361>
4. Tesema, E. A., Delele, M. A., Fanta, S. W., Masrie, F. B., Workie, M. A., & Tsegaye, E. A. (2025). Design, development, and performance evaluation of baffled solar mango slice dryer. *Case Studies in Thermal Engineering*, 74, 106786. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csite.2024.106786>
5. Nnamchi, O., Tomb, C., Akpan, G., Umunna, M., Ubong, D., Ibeh, M., ... & Ndukwu, M. (2025). Solar dryers: A review of mechanism, methods and critical analysis of transport models applicable in solar drying of product. *Green Energy and Resources*, 3, 100118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ger.2024.100118>
6. Singh, P., & Gaur, M. K. (2022). A review on thermal analysis of hybrid greenhouse solar dryer (HGSD). *Journal of Thermal Engineering*, 8(1), 103–119. <https://doi.org/10.14744/jten.2022.xxxx>
7. Babu, S. E., & Kumar, V. V. K. (2020). A Review on Hybrid Solar-Biomass Dryer for food processing integrated with Sun Tracking System. *International Conference on Energy, Communication, Data Analytics and Soft Computing (ICECDS)*.
8. Aduewa, T. O., Fatoude, S. A., & Aderotoye, A. M. (2022). A Comprehensive Review of the Hybrid Solar Dryers. *Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural Research*, 18(3), 21–33. <https://doi.org/10.9734/AJAAR/2022/v18i330224>.