

DESIGN AND DEVELOPMENT OF A TURNTABLE ON A DRILLING MACHINE: A REVIEW OF WORKHOLDING, INDEXING, AND ROTARY-TABLE DESIGN

Mr. B. V. Vedpathak

Department of Mechanical Engineering,
VVPIET, Solapur, Maharashtra, India

Dr. S. D. Doangare

Head of Department of Mechanical Engineering,
VVPIET, Solapur, Maharashtra, India

Abstract

Drilling productivity and hole-position consistency depend not only on cutting parameters but also on how the workpiece is located, clamped, indexed, and presented to the drill. This review synthesizes the research papers compiled for the M. Tech project, “Design and Development of Turntable on Drilling Machine,” with emphasis on drilling fixtures, rotary indexing tables, workholding arrangements, automated station transfer, and precision turntable design. The reviewed literature reports several complementary approaches: 3–2–1 location and dedicated fixtures to reduce setup effort; worm-and-wheel, pawl-and-ratchet, and limit-switch arrangements for indexing; pneumatic actuation for low-cost automation; CAD/CAM and finite-element analysis for design development; and sensor-based control/model verification for safe sequencing. The evidence also highlights design trade-offs among indexing repeatability, structural stiffness, vibration, bearing support, clamping security, cycle time, cost, and operator effort. A key gap is that the reviewed studies commonly address individual aspects—such as a drilling fixture, an indexing table, high-precision machine-tool rotary support, or control logic—rather than experimentally validating an integrated, economical turntable specifically mounted on a conventional drilling machine. The paper therefore proposes a design-and-validation framework for the project, including requirements definition, kinematic and structural design, indexing and locking, workholding, CAD/CAE verification, prototype fabrication, and quantitative testing. Since the supplied papers do not provide a single common test protocol or directly comparable datasets, performance improvements should be established experimentally for the developed prototype rather than assumed from results reported for other machines.

Keywords: Drilling machine; rotary table; indexing mechanism; workholding fixture; jig and fixture design; positioning accuracy; cycle time; CAD/CAE; low-cost automation.

1. Introduction

Manufacturing systems require repeatable positioning of components while limiting non-productive time spent on marking, loading, clamping, repositioning, and checking. In drilling, a workpiece that is not rigidly located or that shifts under cutting forces can lead to positional error, poor hole quality, rework, and operator risk. Conventional manual arrangements may require the operator to reposition the job for each hole or operation. A turntable mounted on or adapted to a drilling machine can bring successive workpiece locations into the drilling position, potentially reducing repeated setup and improving process consistency.

The term “turntable” covers several different engineering solutions in the supplied literature. For this project, the most relevant are (i) an indexing table that rotates the workpiece by a defined angle and locks at each station, (ii) a workholding fixture that locates and clamps the part relative to the drill, and (iii) the supporting shaft, bearings, base, and drive that determine stiffness and repeatability. Automated rotary tables add actuators, sensors, and control logic. High-precision hydrostatic turntables, by contrast, are designed for demanding machine-tool accuracy and may not be economically appropriate for a conventional workshop drilling machine, although their treatment of stiffness and vibration is instructive.

This review organizes the supplied research around these design themes, compares the methods and reported outcomes, identifies gaps relevant to the M.Tech project, and develops a practical framework for design and experimental evaluation.

2. Review Method and Scope

The review is based on the research-paper compilation supplied for this project. The included material covers workholding and drilling fixtures, indexing fixtures for repeated-hole patterns, multi-station rotary tables, turntable-system modeling and verification, and a high-precision hydrostatic turntable. Papers are discussed according to the problem addressed, design or analysis method, reported contribution, and relevance to a drilling-machine turntable.

This is a narrative engineering review rather than a systematic review with database-wide searching, formal screening, or statistical meta-analysis. Some entries in the merged compilation contain incomplete bibliographic metadata or are reproduced as excerpts. Accordingly, numerical outcomes are attributed only where the supplied text explicitly reports them; otherwise, the paper is described qualitatively. The references should be checked against the original publications before journal submission.

3. Thematic Review of Related Work

3.1 Workholding fixtures and setup-time reduction

Ingale and Rawat’s review of workholding devices for drilling operations summarizes studies in which dedicated fixtures are used to improve location, clamping, repeatability, and handling. It reports that applying the 3–2–1 locating principle and modeling a fixture before fabrication can reduce production time and cost; the review attributes a 45.03% cost reduction to the fixture study by Mangukia and co-authors [1, 2]. This figure belongs to that reported case and should not be treated as a forecast for the proposed turntable.

The same review describes a wheel-hub fixture intended to improve component placement and reduce scrap, a drill jig for a circular disc brake using a sliding-tray mechanism, and the use of a magnetic vice to reduce clamping and job-shifting effort [1]. These studies support a central requirement for the present design: the rotating table must not be considered in isolation. The workpiece locator and clamp must maintain a repeatable datum relative to the drill axis and must resist drilling forces without damaging the component.

Okpala and Ezeanyim discuss the role of jigs and fixtures in manufacturing, including the need to allow for component-size variation while maintaining adequate stiffness and manageable handling [3]. For a turntable, this translates into a balance between robust locating surfaces and sufficient clearance for

loading, chip removal, and component variation. A fixture that is difficult to load can erode the time savings provided by indexing.

3.2 Indexing mechanisms for repeated drilling

The supplied papers describe several ways to obtain discrete angular positions. A drilling indexing fixture for flange-type work uses a worm-and-wheel arrangement; the reported mechanism uses a 40-tooth wheel and a single-start worm, giving a nominal 40:1 relationship between worm rotation and table rotation. The study also describes electronic control using an Arduino-based unit and reports practical demonstrations and comparison with manual marking [4]. This arrangement is relevant where the operator needs controlled angular increments and the table must remain stationary during drilling.

The paper on a real-time multistationed table describes a four-station mild-steel body, a rotating disc supported by a stepped shaft and bearings, and a pawl-and-ratchet mechanism. Limit switches are used to establish 90-degree indexing positions, while pneumatic actuators transfer or position the component [5]. The paper frames the system as low-cost automation and identifies future potential for adding drilling, boring, tapping, and inspection operations at different stations. For a simpler drilling-machine attachment, a manually operated worm drive may be easier to implement; for repeated production, a ratchet, pneumatic, or motor-driven indexer may reduce operator effort but adds control and maintenance requirements.

The design choice should be made against the required indexing angle, number of positions, permissible angular error, load and inertia, desired cycle time, available power, and cost. A drive mechanism alone does not guarantee accuracy: backlash, bearing clearance, shaft deflection, lock engagement, table runout, and workpiece-clamp compliance all contribute to the final position error. A positive mechanical lock or detent is therefore important if the drive train is not intended to resist drilling torque directly.

3.3 Turntable system modeling, sensors, and control

Bortnik and co-authors analyze a turntable system used in a rotating drilling-machine cell. The modeled system contains a four-slot table, a clamp, a drill, a test station, sensors, actuators, and separate controllers. The paper describes the sequence of loading, rotating, clamping, drilling, unclamping, testing, and unloading. It also formalizes safety and liveness properties, including preventing drilling when a slot is empty, preventing table rotation during active operations, and ensuring that operations eventually complete [6].

The authors translate a simulation model into formal models for CADP, Spin, and Uppaal and compare their ability to verify system properties. The source reports 32,570 states for one generated state space and 6,839 after strong-bisimulation minimization in the $\chi\sigma$ toolset [6]. These results concern control-system verification rather than mechanical accuracy. Nevertheless, the sequencing principles are directly useful: indexing should be enabled only when the drill is retracted and the clamp is released; drilling should be enabled only after the table is locked and the workpiece is present; and faults or incomplete sensor transitions should inhibit the next motion.

For an M.Tech prototype, a full formal-verification workflow may be beyond the required scope. A practical implementation can still use a clear state sequence, limit switches or proximity sensors,

interlocks, emergency stop, and a documented fault-response plan. If the design remains manual, equivalent safeguards should be provided through a positive lock, clear operating procedure, and prevention of accidental rotation while drilling.

3.4 Multi-station and pneumatic rotary tables

The multistationed-table study demonstrates a four-position indexing concept using a ratchet-and-pawl mechanism, limit switches, bearings, and pneumatic handling [5]. Its main contribution to the present project is architectural: work can be transferred between stations so that loading, machining, and inspection may overlap. This can raise throughput when multiple operations are needed and when the additional stations are justified by production volume.

A single-station turntable mounted to a conventional drilling machine has a different design target. It may only need to position several holes on one component, rather than carry multiple components through a production sequence. Consequently, a four-station automated architecture should be treated as an alternative design direction, not as a mandatory feature. Pneumatic indexing can be suitable for small or medium loads, but requires an air supply, valves, cylinders, and speed control; manual indexing is less complex but depends more heavily on the operator and may have a longer cycle time.

3.5 Structural stiffness, bearings, and vibration

The supplied paper by Hu and Chen on a high-precision hydrostatic turntable studies vibration and structural behavior, including a comparison between a 40Cr steel table component and marble in a hydrostatic-turntable assembly [7]. The paper reports that, under a modeled 29,400 N load, the maximum displacement was approximately 8.9406 μm for the 40Cr component and 2.6 μm for marble; it also reports lower mass and power-consumption estimates for marble in that specific design [7]. These figures are tied to the paper's high-precision hydrostatic system, materials, boundary conditions, and simulation, and cannot be transferred directly to a small drilling-machine turntable.

The broader lesson is that table stiffness, vibration response, mass distribution, and bearing support affect positioning stability. For a conventional design, the base plate, table thickness, shaft diameter, bearing spacing, and mounting arrangement should be sized for the maximum workpiece mass and expected drilling loads. A simple rolling-bearing support may be more practical than a hydrostatic bearing system, but runout, axial play, radial play, and deflection should be measured. Finite-element analysis can identify stress concentrations and deflection trends, but experimental verification is needed because real joints, fits, clearances, and mounting conditions influence the assembled system.

3.6 CAD/CAM and design-development methods

The supplied workholding review describes the use of CAD tools for fixture modeling and manufacturing software such as Pro/ENGINEER/Wildfire and Mastercam for design-to-manufacture support [1]. The flange indexing-fixture paper likewise describes modeling, design calculations, construction, and testing [4]. Together, these sources support a workflow in which a three-dimensional assembly is developed before fabrication, critical interfaces are checked, and manufacturing drawings are prepared from the validated model.

For the proposed turntable, CAD should be used to check drill-head clearance, table rotation envelope, clamp accessibility, mounting-hole locations, and the possibility of collision between the workpiece,

drill, and machine column. Engineering calculations should cover the shaft, bearings, indexing drive, lock, table plate, and fasteners. Where suitable software is available, static structural analysis can compare candidate plate thicknesses and shaft sizes. The analysis should use clearly stated loads and boundary conditions, and should not be presented as proof of accuracy unless supported by measurements.

4. Comparative Summary of the Most Relevant Studies

Study / source	Method or design focus	Reported contribution	Relevance and limitation
Ingale & Rawat review [1]	Review of drilling workholding, jigs, fixtures, CAD, and special-purpose devices	Synthesizes setup reduction, locating, clamping, and productivity themes; cites a 45.03% cost reduction in one fixture case	Useful design principles; secondary review, not a direct turntable test
Mangukia et al. [2]	3-2-1-based pillar-drill fixture design and fabrication	The review reports reduced production time/cost and 45.03% cost reduction	Supports fixture integration; original paper's full data should be checked
Okpala & Ezeanyim [3]	Jig and fixture design considerations	Emphasizes clearance, stiffness, material choice, and handling	Guides locating and clamp design; not specific to rotary indexing
Flange drilling indexing fixture [4]	Worm-and-wheel indexing; Arduino-based control described	Controlled angular positioning for flange-hole patterns; practical demonstration reported	Relevant for repeatable hole patterns; verify backlash and angular error experimentally
Lenin Rakes & Ashok Kumar [5]	Four-station rotary indexing table, pawl/ratchet, 90° limit switches, pneumatics	Low-cost automated station transfer concept	Useful mechanism alternative; more complex than a simple manual table
Bortnik et al. [6]	Turntable control model and formal verification with CADP, Spin, Uppaal	Defines operation sequence and safety/liveness properties; compares verification approaches	Useful for interlocks and sequencing, not a mechanical performance benchmark
Hu & Chen [7]	High-precision hydrostatic turntable; vibration/structural simulation	Compares 40Cr and marble under modeled loading; reports lower displacement for marble in its specific model	Highlights stiffness/vibration; architecture and load regime differ from a workshop attachment
Dwiyanto et al. [8]	Heavy-duty manual turntable and ergonomic assessment	CAD-based proposed design estimates improved posture; authors note no fabricated-prototype validation	Supports ergonomic thinking; material-handling application differs from drilling

5. Synthesis and Identified Research Gap

Across the reviewed papers, five recurring requirements emerge. First, the workpiece must be located and clamped reliably, using datums and a fixture suited to its geometry. Second, the table must index to a defined angle and return to that position repeatably. Third, the assembly must be sufficiently stiff to limit deflection and vibration under drilling load. Fourth, the operator or control system must prevent rotation during cutting and prevent drilling when the table is not secured. Fifth, the design must be manufacturable and economical for the intended workshop and production volume.

The reviewed literature also exposes a gap between component-level solutions and integrated evaluation. Dedicated fixtures emphasize locating and clamping; indexing-fixture papers emphasize angular positioning; multistation tables emphasize automation and throughput; high-precision

turntable research emphasizes stiffness and vibration; and formal-modeling work emphasizes safe sequencing. The supplied papers do not establish a single validated design that integrates these considerations into a low-cost turntable specifically mounted on a conventional drilling machine and reports a common set of accuracy, repeatability, cycle-time, rigidity, and cost measurements.

Therefore, the project's research contribution should be framed as the design, fabrication, and experimental evaluation of an integrated turntable attachment, rather than as the invention of indexing itself. Its novelty can lie in the selected mechanism and fixture arrangement for the target machine, its cost-conscious construction, and the measured improvement against a clearly documented baseline.

6. Proposed Design Framework for the Project

6.1 Define requirements and constraints

Record the drilling machine model and table dimensions, spindle-to-column clearance, available mounting points, workpiece envelope, maximum workpiece mass, hole pattern, required angular increments, expected production volume, and acceptable positioning error. Identify whether the intended use is single-part multi-hole drilling or a multi-station production process. This decision determines whether a simple manual indexer or an automated table is appropriate.

6.2 Select the indexing and locking arrangement

Candidate mechanisms from the reviewed papers include a worm-and-wheel drive, a pawl-and-ratchet indexer with limit switches, and pneumatic indexing. For a low-cost prototype, a manual worm drive can provide controllable incremental rotation; however, backlash and operator setting error must be assessed. A ratchet-and-pawl mechanism can provide discrete indexing, while pneumatic actuation is more suitable where automatic cycling is required. Irrespective of the drive, provide a positive locking or clamping feature that holds the table during drilling.

6.3 Design the table, shaft, bearings, and base

Prepare calculations for table bending, shaft torsion and bending, bearing radial/axial loads, fasteners, locking-pin shear or contact stress, and mounting stability. The design load should include workpiece weight and a justified allowance for drilling forces and operating conditions. Choose materials and plate thicknesses according to strength, stiffness, machinability, availability, and cost. Check the rotating envelope and ensure that the attachment does not interfere with the drill column, spindle, guard, or operator access.

6.4 Design the workholding fixture

Use a repeatable locating scheme, preferably referencing stable component datums. The 3–2–1 principle is a useful starting point for prismatic parts, but the actual locator arrangement should match the workpiece geometry. Clamps should resist cutting forces while allowing rapid loading and unloading. Where multiple component sizes are expected, consider adjustable or replaceable locators rather than compromising rigidity with excessive universal adjustment.

6.5 CAD, analysis, and fabrication

Develop a complete CAD assembly and manufacturing drawings. Check clearances, interference, assembly access, and ease of maintenance. Perform analytical calculations first; then use finite-element analysis if available to examine stress and deflection under stated loads. Fabricate the base, table, shaft, bearing housing, indexer, lock, and workholding components, and document material specifications, fits, tolerances, and assembly procedures.

6.6 Testing and performance evaluation

Compare the existing method with the turntable method using the same workpiece, hole pattern, drill, tool condition, and operator conditions where practicable. Repeat measurements sufficiently to estimate variability. Recommended metrics are listed below; the paper should report measured values, instrument resolution, test conditions, and uncertainty or repeatability wherever possible.

7. Recommended Experimental Metrics

Metric	Suggested method	Why it matters
Indexing angle error	Measure commanded versus achieved angle at each index position using an angle gauge, encoder, or suitable metrology instrument	Quantifies angular positioning accuracy
Repeatability	Return to the same index position repeatedly and record the spread of measured positions	Shows consistency across cycles
Table runout	Measure radial and axial runout with a dial indicator while rotating the table	Captures bearing, shaft, and assembly errors
Hole-position error	Measure actual hole centers relative to drawing datums using suitable inspection equipment	Connects table performance to final part quality
Cycle time	Time a defined set of holes for the baseline and proposed methods over repeated trials	Quantifies productivity change
Clamping and loading time	Record load, secure, release, and unload times separately	Identifies where time is saved or lost
Rigidity / deflection	Measure table displacement under a known applied load or compare with validated analysis	Checks stability under load
Surface and hole quality	Inspect hole diameter, location, burrs, and finish using appropriate instruments	Ensures productivity does not compromise quality
Cost and maintainability	Document material, machining, purchased parts, assembly time, and service needs	Supports the low-cost design objective
Safety and interlocks	Test that the table cannot rotate during drilling and drilling cannot start when unlocked	Reduces foreseeable operational hazards

8. Discussion

The literature indicates that productivity gains are most likely when indexing is combined with secure workholding and a clear operating sequence. The mechanism should be selected to suit the actual task: a simple manual turntable can be appropriate for intermittent drilling of several holes, whereas a multi-station pneumatic table is justified only when production demand and process layout warrant its added

complexity. The design should avoid relying on friction in the drive train alone to hold position during cutting; a positive lock makes the table's stationary condition more dependable.

Accuracy must be considered as a system property. Even a finely divided indexer cannot compensate for excessive table runout, loose bearings, poor fixture datums, a flexible base, or movement of the workpiece in its clamp. Similarly, a stiff table cannot eliminate indexing error caused by backlash or inconsistent engagement. Design and testing should therefore isolate these error sources where possible.

The papers provide useful reported results but are not directly comparable. The 45.03% cost reduction reported through the workholding review, the 32% increase in daily machined parts mentioned in that review for a separate fixture study, and the micrometre-level displacement values in the hydrostatic-turntable paper refer to different equipment, materials, and test conditions [1, 7]. They should be cited as examples of potential benefits, not combined into a predicted performance figure for this project.

A strong final M.Tech report should include a baseline process map, engineering calculations, CAD drawings, fabrication photographs, a bill of materials, an experimental protocol, raw measurement tables, and a statistical comparison. The review itself cannot establish the final performance of the proposed machine; those claims require prototype data.

9. Conclusion

This review of the supplied papers shows that a drilling-machine turntable should be designed as an integrated positioning and workholding system. The most relevant design lessons are the use of repeatable locating and clamping, a defined indexing mechanism, positive locking during drilling, adequately supported shafts and bearings, and a safe operating sequence. Worm-and-wheel indexing is relevant to controlled hole-pattern drilling; pawl-and-ratchet and pneumatic systems provide alternatives for discrete or automated station transfer. Structural analysis and high-precision turntable research underline the importance of stiffness and vibration, while turntable control-model research highlights interlocks and operation sequencing.

The principal gap is the lack of a directly comparable, experimentally validated, low-cost turntable attachment for a conventional drilling machine that reports indexing accuracy, repeatability, runout, hole-position error, cycle time, rigidity, cost, and safety performance together. The proposed project can address this gap through requirements-driven design, CAD and engineering analysis, prototype fabrication, and controlled comparison with the existing drilling method. Any performance improvement should be claimed only after measurement under documented test conditions.

References

1. Ingale, R., and Rawat, U. M. "A Review Paper on Work Holding Devices for Drilling Operations." *International Journal of Innovations in Engineering Research and Technology (IJIERT)*, Vol. 8, Issue 8, August 2021, pp. 196–199. ISSN 2394-3696.
2. Mangukia, N., Mangukia, N., Rachhadia, M., and Joshi, A. "Design and Development of Fixture for Pillar Drilling Machine." *International Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology (IJRASET)*, Vol. 5, Issue XI, November 2017. ISSN 2321-9653. (Page range/DOI should be verified from the original publication.)

3. Okpala, C. C., and Ezeanyim, O. C. "The Design and Need for Jigs and Fixtures in Manufacturing." *Science Research*, Vol. 3, No. 4, 2015, pp. 213–219. DOI: 10.11648/j.sr.20150304.19.
4. "Design and Development of Drilling Indexing Fixture" / flange drilling indexing-fixture study reproduced in the supplied compilation. The visible excerpt describes a 40-tooth worm wheel and Arduino/ATmega328 control. Full author, title, journal, year, volume, pages, and DOI must be confirmed from the original paper before submission.
5. Lenin Rakes, N., and Ashok Kumar, A. "Design of Real Time Multistationed Table." *Indian Journal of Science and Technology*, Vol. 8, Issue 31, November 2015. DOI: 10.17485/ijst/2015/v8i32/87768.
6. Bortnik, E., Trčka, N., Wijs, A. J., Luttik, S. P., van de Mortel-Fronczak, J. M., Baeten, J. C. M., Fokkink, W. J., and Rooda, J. E. "Analyzing a χ Model of a Turntable System using Spin, CADP and Uppaal." Full publication venue/year details should be verified from the original source; the supplied excerpt includes the full technical description and modeling results.
7. Hu, L., and Chen, Y. "Research on Optimization of a High Precision Hydrostatic Turntable." *Manufacturing Technology*, Vol. 20, No. 6, December 2020, pp. 755–761. (DOI and full author metadata should be checked against the original publication.)
8. Dwiyanto, A., Pramono, A. E., and Zuhri, A. "Design of a Heavy-Duty Manual Turntable for Heavy Material Handling Activities to Reduce the Potential Risk of Musculoskeletal Disorders (MSDs)." *Recent in Engineering Science and Technology*, Vol. 4, No. 3, 2026, pp. 155–164. (Included as contextual turntable/ergonomics literature; verify publication metadata before citation.)